right to argue the other way.

An hon. Member: So do I.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I think before judgment is rendered the opinion of members of the house will be requested.

Mr. Langlois (Mégantic): Mr. Speaker, in the light of the fact that we might not have a decision on the amendment until tomorrow, I should like to call it ten o'clock.

Mr. McIlraith: Mr. Speaker, pursuant to standing order 6(2) I move that this house continue to sit until eleven o'clock this night. • (10:00 p.m.)

Mr. Peters: Mr. Speaker, I object.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: I will read standing order 6, subsection (2):

A motion that the house continue to sit after the hour specified in section (1) may be made at any time without notice. If any member objects to the motion, Mr. Speaker shall request those members who object to rise in their places and if ten or more members then rise, the question shall not be put on the motion.

Are there any members of the house who object to the motion as put by the Minister of Public Works (Mr. McIlraith)?

And more than ten members having risen.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The motion will not be put before the house.

Mr. Starr: They want something-but they don't want it.

Mr. Winkler: Great Canadians, all those people down on the left.

## BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Starr: Mr. Speaker, I wonder whether the house leader could tell us what we might expect tomorrow as the business of the house.

Mr. McIlraith: Mr. Speaker, I have been trying to get a pattern for finishing the business before the house. We have had very good co-operation from the official opposition in getting business through. I do not know how to indicate the business for tomorrow, other than to say that hon. members should be prepared for us to call any of the items on the order paper or the notice paper, in any order.

I should like to suggest that tomorrow we consider sitting longer hours in order to get the business done, because the government is trying to put forward the legislation in an orderly way and in accordance with information that we have transmitted to all members

Proceedings on Adjournment Motion Mr. Knowles: Mr. Speaker, I reserve the of the house. I think that is the best way I can put it at the moment.

> Mr. Starr: Mr. Speaker, we in this party agree heartily that we should sit longer hours tomorrow in order to endeavour to put through the business of this house.

> Mr. Peters: On the point of order that is being raised, Mr. Speaker, there is certainly no one more interested in our obtaining a formal recess than I, and I think there is considerable agreement in this respect. But I think it would be unrealistic for us to consider this matter unless there can be agreement-and it has to be, I would think, by way of agreement—that this be done, and everyone in the house knowing exactly what we intend to do before the recess. If that can be done, I think members of this party would agree to the extension of hours; otherwise I think we had better not count on extending the hours, except by motion, before the end of the month.

## PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

A motion to adjourn the house under provisional standing order 39A deemed to have been moved.

## WATER RESOURCES-SALE OF CANADIAN WATER

Mr. Ron Basford (Vancouver-Burrard): Mr. Speaker, my question for the late show originally arose out of the proposed visit by Mr. Stewart Udall, Interior Secretary of the United States, to Canada to confer with the Minister of Mines and Technical Surveys (Mr. Pepin), or the minister of energy and resources. When the minister was queried on the purpose of this visit he informed the house that the purpose of his meeting with Mr. Udall was primarily to discuss pollution problems.

I wanted an assurance from the Canadian minister that he would assure the American secretary that Canadian water was not for sale. My remarks would almost appear to be redundant now because Mr. Udall, as reported in the Globe and Mail of June 24, 1966, seems to agree with my position that Canadian water should not be for sale to the United States. Mr. Udall is reported as say-

We've suddenly begun to realize in the United States that if we do the right job in pollution control, we are going to increase our water resources enormously

We are not looking hungrily at Canada's water resources. We are looking at our own.