

that the most easy position is to have the cervix at its natural place at the upper part of the vagina, provided always that the patient is placed well in Sims' position and that the speculum is well held. There is far more room here than at any other part of the vagina. In forty cases I have but once seen hemorrhage of any intensity. In the others it either stopped before the stitches were introduced or after they had been brought together. I don't think that it is of importance what kind of sutures are used. In my next case I shall probably use wire for the crown ones, and silk, prepared according to Dr. Skene's method, for the uterus. The needles are of much greater importance. Dr. Emmet used round ones, but I don't find there is any objection to lancet-pointed needles, and certainly they go in much more easily. It is certainly a great comfort to fix into each lip a tenaculum of some sort, then one gets greater command over the cervix, and can keep it steadier than if a loop of thread or any other means be used.

Dr. Wilson congratulated Dr. Keith on his paper, and remarked that, considering the number of cases operated on the other side of the Atlantic, the midwifery must be somewhat rougher there than on this side.

Dr. Berry Hart did not understand the pathology of the cases operated on, and believed that some forms of inflammatory action went on in the parts. He would like to know the conditions Dr. Keith had met with in split cervix. He had seen pelvic cellulitis and other affections following the operation, and had heard many patients complain that they had not been benefited by the operation.

Dr. Milne Chapman had performed the operation with unfavorable results in four cases. He held that the tendency of Nature to heal the rupture in the cervix caused congestion of the part; this leads to a proliferation of epithelium, which prevented healing. In the one successful case a notable result was the diminution in sub-involuted uterus.

Dr. Barbour had seen eight or ten cases; the benefit derived was only in about one-half of the cases. He, however, held that Emmet's operation was based on sound pathology.

Dr. Arnot (Bombay) thanked Dr. Keith for his paper, and was glad to hear a new operation discussed. He held that the proof of the success of the operation depended not so much upon a good

cicatrix, but on the results of a year's experience. How much of the temporary success of the operation depends on the local hemorrhage, relieving congestion, the rest in bed, etc., which accompanied the operation?

Dr. P. A. Young had had no experience of the operation. The operation, he held, received the almost universal assent of the profession. He related a case of chronic split cervix, which was cured by Emmet's operation.

Dr. Brewis recorded five cases treated by Dr. Angus Macdonald, four of which were successful. In the unsuccessful case there was shortening of the broad ligament, which prevented the cervix being drawn down, as was the custom with Dr. Macdonald. There was also some ovaritis. The case at first did well, but on the removal of the sutures it was found that the rent had not been improved. In two cases he had seen severe hemorrhage; he had used a styptic composed of iron, alum, and glycerine, but found the vagina charred, due to the styptic used. This should only be used in hemorrhage due to malignant disease. All cases should be watched by the nurse, as severe hemorrhage may come on in any case.

Dr. Webster mentioned a case in his practice where a severe split cervix had been cured by hot water.

Dr. Keith replied that he once held that the operation should be done far more frequently, but he had since modified that opinion. As to the pathology he had formulated no theory. He had operated more because he thought the cervix was at fault. He thought that the operation, as a rule, should not be done in cases of pelvic cellulitis. Dr. Emmet said that the pelvic cellulitis should be first carefully treated, and then the operation might be carefully done.

THOMSEN'S DISEASE.

TRANSLATION BY J. WORKMAN, M.D., TORONTO.

This is a muscular affection, which has been brought into notice by several German physicians and one or two French within the last few years. It has taken its name from the gentleman who, having himself been the subject of it, in common with a large number of his family stock, throughout five generations, was the first to treat of it with