

company for the construction and location of the railway. A by-law passed by the council directed that the poles for holding wires should, on part of a certain street, be placed between the tracks, which was done under the supervision of the city engineer.

*Held*, reversing the judgment appealed against (32 Ont. L.R. 578), that the location of the poles was authorized by the Legislature, and did not constitute an obstruction of the highway amounting to a nuisance; the company was therefore not liable for injury resulting from an automobile, while driven at night, coming in contact with the pole.

*Held*, also, that as on the city council was cast the duty of regulating the operation of the railway in respect to traffic and travelling on the street and it had made no regulation as to lighting the pole, the company was under no obligation to do so.

Appeal allowed with costs.

*D. L. McCarthy*, K.C., and *A. H. Gibson*, for appellants.  
*Howitt*, for respondents.

---

### Book Reviews.

---

*Bullen & Leak's Precedents of Pleadings in Actions in the King's Bench Division of the High Court of Justice, with notes.* Seventh edition. By *W. BLAKE ODGERS*, M.A., LL.D., K.C., and *WALTER BLAKE ODGERS*, M.A. London: Stevens & Sons, Limited, 119-121 Chancery Lane; Sweet & Maxwell, Limited, 3 Chancery Lane. Toronto: Canada Law Book Company, 1915.

The first edition of this standard work appeared in 1860. It immediately took the first place in works on pleadings, a subject which was much more intricate, and when pleadings were much more elaborate, than they are now. Let it not be supposed, however, that there is no need for books on pleadings. A number of new precedents have been added to the work since the 6th edition, which appeared in October, 1905, and which is now out of print.

The editor in presenting these precedents to the profession reminds those who may use them that it is no longer possible for a pleader merely to copy all forms applicable to actions of the class to which his case belongs, as was often sufficient under the old system of pleading. There may be also some of the younger members of our profession who need to be reminded that under the present system all material facts have to be stated instead of