## Catholic.

Quod semper; quod ubique; quod ab omnibus

OL. I.

## KINGSTON, FRIDAY, APRIL 15, 1831.

## SELECTED.

EXTRACT FROM AMICABLE DISCUS-SION.

ON UNITY.

SIR.

I VIELD to your solicitation, and since youre mire it, I will discuss, successively, the different irticles upon which we differ; and in the first place, with your permission, I shall commence by casting together with you, a general glance upon the spectacle that religion presents in your country. Long did I witness it with sorrow; a thousand times did I groan in spirit, whilst residing amongst you, and now, in my state of separation from you, I am still equally afflicted with dismay and pity, so often as I consider, what you were, and what you are.

From the establishment of Christianity in your ountry, to the period, when, for the first time, menfion was made of a reformation; your happy anestors had known but one faith, one altar, and one religion. Bound from without to all the churches of the world, they were within themselves strictly united together: they resorted to the same temples and assembled around the same altars. Under the direction of the same pastors, they heard the same loctrine and participated in the same sacraments. They all were brethren, all members of the same body of Jesus Christ. The name of a dissenter sweetness of harmony, and the peace of uniformity | racter of simplicity and unity, which is so remarkreigned in families, in cities, in districts, in the brethren, the new-jerusalemites, the latitudinarians, is poison and death to it. the swarms of methodists, &c. Whilst the civil

der throughout society, the evangelical law is abandoned to systems, to opinions, nay, even to the funaticism of any individual who chooses to erect himself into an expounder and preacher of the gospel, and who possesses talent enough to gain a hearing and procure an audience. Every where, altar is raised against altar; every where; by the side of the established Church are to be found rival churches, dissenting chapels, temples, strangers to one another, domestic meetings, where, at the same hours, worship is celebrated with different forms and ccremonies, the gospel explained in dif ferent ways, and doctrine expounded in different and contrary senses, In fine, since the thorough country, presents a confused medley of every secu and every form of worship; a perfect chaos of doctrines, in which each one plunges and tosses, dogmatising and declaiming as fancy or feeling directs. Men no longer know, whom to listen to, what to believe, or what to do.

All that we have to do, is to ask ourselves, whether our divine legislator came to give his Church different forms and appearances, to be subject to variation according to the caprice or taste of men; to give to his doctrine and dogmas various and op posite significations: or rather, whether he has not assigned to his church a fixed constitution, and to has not imprinted on the system of his revelation was not so much as known amongst them. The whether taken collectively or in detail, that chaable in all the works of God, and which constitutes whole empire. At the voice of the reformation their excellence and beauty, omnis pulchritudinis every thing changed its appearance. What do we forma unitus. We are now arrived at a question behold from the time of Elizabeth? She had flat- so decisively important, that I feel myself bound 'ered herself, in the pride of her wisdom, and from to spend some time in developing the proofs, that, the granu conceptions of her ministers, that by se- in my opinion, demonstrate the necessity of acparating her subjects from the catholic world, she knowledging and preserving unity and government should mould them into her reformation, and inva- and faith. I shall, in the first place, consult reariably bend them to her law, and that her spiritual son; for it will teach us that the dogma of unity is supremacy would become as extensive as her tem- so conformable with, and so analogous to the spirit poral dominion. And behold! in spite of all her of revelation, as to appear inseparably concocted efferts, she could not draw to her belief the inhabi- with its establishment. I shall then open the scripvillage. Her reformation has ever produced new by Jesus Christ to his apostles, in the clearest, the that it will ever reach the term of its lamentable conclusion, I shall interrogate the illustrious ages fecundity. From it have already sprung the pres-byterians, the independents, the puritans, the soci-for purity of doctrine, and they will inform us that nions, the quakers, the anabaptists, the moravian unity is the life and soul of christianity, as schism

1. Reason of itself can sufficiently conceive

world with respect to it at the coming of our Saviour! You need not be informed. If you except the people who preserved the deposit of the sacred truths, all the others, being delivered up to the cor ruption of their hearts and the darkness of their un derstanding, had lost sight of the Creator. Incapa ble of comprehending how one single being could preside over all, they had filled the world with imaginary Gods, produced the most fantastical forms of worship, at one time offering their incense and their prayers to the planets that roll over our heads; at another prostituting them to the productions that spring under our feet, to the vilest animals and the most shameful passions: and in this change produced by Elizabeth, religion, in your multitude of temples that covered the earth, the God who created them had not one single altar. unless the one which Athens had crected to the unkuown God.

Such was the deplorable condition of human nature, when there appeared in Judea an extraordi nary personage, distinguished from other men by a character peculiar to himself, incomparable and divine: announcing to the Jews, that the time fixed, for the abrogation of their ceremonial law was arrived, and to the nations that they were all called to the knowledge of the true God. From the time that he came down from heaven to introduce among mankind a system of doctrine, reason could his words an appropriate meaning. Whether he no longer admit that he could be indifferent to the various ways, in which this his system would be understood, or that the most opposite interpretations could be equally agreeable to him. It could not admit that it should enter into the spirit and economy of his mission, to replace the multiplied idelatrous societies and superstitious worships, By a variety of separate sects, of incoherent and opposite communions; it could not admit that it was his will there should prevail in his church almost as" general a confusion of ideas as prevailed under the empire of blinded reason, and that there should be no better understanding amongst us in the bosour of the true religion than there was in paganism. Where there exists an opposition of dogmas and a contrariety of opinions, there necessarily is error: tants of a single county, no, not of a single town or tures, and they will shew us the precept delivered and it would be absurd to suppose God indiscriminately favorable to falsehood and truth. Reason, succeeding sects, and affords no glimpse of hope most forcible, and most peremptory terms: and, in on the contrary, tells us, that the God of all truth. in communicating himself to man, could reveal bu! one doctrine, and establish but one spiritual government, it being a fact that a difference in government produces more or less a difference in doctrine.

Reason tells us, he must have been desireus that taw admirably maintains its dominion over all your that unity must attach to the plan and spirit of our his dogmes and precepts, whatever they were, geople without distinction, preserves peace and or- revelation. In fact, what was the condition of the should be adopted just as he had taught them; that