



(Founded in 1876 by W. J. Herder.)

Evening Telegram

The Evening Telegram, Ltd.,
Proprietors.

All communications should be
addressed to the Evening Telegram,
Ltd., and not to individuals.

Tuesday, January 29, 1923.

The Board of Trade Report for 1922.

The 15th Annual Report of the Newfoundland Board of Trade, which has just been issued, is an exhaustive treatise on the industrial activities in the Island during the past year, and contains as well several excellent suggestions which, if adopted, would materially improve the economical situation.

We are glad to observe that throughout the report there is a tone of optimism, which is based, not so much upon the desire to see matters improve, as upon the actual results of last year's operations. Referring to conditions in the Italian market it says: "Confidence has been restored in business, and the Italian market is at present strong." With reference to Greece: "Greece once more becomes a steady customer who can be relied upon to purchase quantities of our soft cured fish." The report further states that while the shortage in the catch of fish caused hardship in many directions, it resulted in higher prices for the fishermen, and in shipments being sold outright and the proceeds received quickly.

The benefits which have accrued through the extended pulp and paper operations are also referred to, and the prospects of further developments of this industry are outlined. These and other similar references afford concrete proof not only that we have turned the corner, but that those who are closely concerned with the industrial welfare of Newfoundland have confidence in the wealth and security of her resources as well as in her recuperative powers.

With reference to the suggestions that are put forward, many of them have already been referred to previously in this column, and in time we trust to deal with the others more fully than is possible at the moment. The report refers to the practice in the Customs Department of collecting duties on imports from the United Kingdom on the basis of par of exchange, which not only is an unnecessary hardship—it might very well be termed an imposition—on the people in this country, but it has the effect of discouraging trade with the Mother Country as well. This long outstanding grievance should be redressed.

The necessity for a reduction in taxation generally, accompanied by a sweeping reduction in expenditure is emphasized. This suggestion from the Board of Trade will be endorsed on all sides. The greater portion of our taxes is raised by means of customs duties, and consequently however much the merchant pays directly to the Department, is indirectly paid by the individual when he purchases his food, clothing and the other requirements of life. A reduction of duty would immediately result in increased imports, a quicker turnover, and a reduction in the cost of living for everyone.

We regret that the report is not more definite in its comments regarding the question of

improving the quality of our fish, or the adoption of more satisfactory methods of marketing. Improvements in both are possible, desirable, and necessary. Were there fuller co-operation between the exporters themselves on the one hand, and between them and the producers on the other, more of the difficulties in this connection would quickly disappear.

The report complains that the passenger rates on the Furness Withy ships are the same as those charged on first class liners. This is a complaint made not without good reason. The rates are excessive particularly as they apply to young children. The report might have suggested as well a further reduction to that which has already been made in the rates charged on the boats which ply on the Halifax-New York, and Montreal routes.

There is another complaint with reference to the impossibility of obtaining statistics which show with any degree of accuracy the catch of codfish during the season, or even of the quantity which is marketed. Whether this is the result of indifference or whether it is deliberate, it is impossible to say, but is certainly a matter of importance and could easily be effected without any additional cost or extra staff.

"The Future is in our own hands," says the report. "Trade is returning towards normal, new industries are being built up, and provided that we can reduce our taxation and have a clean Government, there is no reason why Newfoundland should not share in the prosperity which all other countries on this side of the Atlantic are now enjoying. What we need is vision and determination to set our own house in order."

Will not Publish To-Morrow

To-morrow being a General Holiday, The Evening Telegram will not be published.

Rev. G. O. Lightbourn Leaving

Rev. G. O. Lightbourn has received an invitation to take up duties as Curate of St. Paul's Cathedral, London, Ont., which is in charge of Very Rev. Dean Tucker. After considerable consideration had been given to the invitation, Mr. Lightbourn decided to accept, and a time meeting of the Vestry at St. Thomas's he notified them of his intentions. His departure causes regret to the congregation and to himself as well. Mr. Lightbourn considered that he should not decline an offer which would give him the opportunity of continuing his studies and also of being near his family. Mr. Lightbourn has endeavored himself to the congregation during his stay here and while his many friends regret his leaving, they congratulate him heartily on his appointment.

PEPYS BEHIND THE SCENES.

Jan. 28th.—Up, and to the office and there all the morning. The pipes frozen with the cold and in great trouble to find a plumber, who when he comes, displays no haste in making the repairs; and so, chilled to the marrow, almost. Comes Povey and gives me news of the Enquiry which is that Mr. Lewis did try to shake the testimony of Mr. Meany, but to no avail, and Meany as keen on his wit as the American attorney. This afternoon, I was troubled with Hirsch's sending to borrow \$100 of me; but I did answer that I had none, which is indeed the truth, and like to be true, alas, for many months to come. To-night, I did go to the Maestle playhouse, there to see the Lady Diana Duff-Cooper to play in the picture "The Glorious Adventure." But Lord, to see my great ancestor Samuel, and he as fat as his portraits show him, and, indeed, a great busy-body and gossip. The picture very good, but the color photography not yet as well as it might be.

OH GIRLS—This is leap year! Love makes the world whirl. See how it is made. Come and see "Rose of My Heart," Holy Cross Schools, Wednesday, January 30th, 31st and February 1st. Jan 29/23

Mr. L. Curtis Under Searching Examination By Attorney General

Mr. Meany's Examination Concluded Yesterday.

Yesterday afternoon when Mr. Lewis resumed his cross-examination of Mr. Meany, he questioned him about the period following his arrival from New York, when Mr. Miller took sick. Witness said that Miller was seriously ill and was attended by a doctor. He had asked him to look after the matter of the outstanding \$46,000, and he did so by writing the letter to Sir Richard Squires already put in evidence. He could have taken on this matter personally but he (Sir Richard) was usually too busy to be seen. It was just a friendly act towards Sir Richard, and the document speaks for itself, said the witness. Questioned about the period after the General Election when Sir Richard showed hostility, Mr. Meany said his own attitude was that of indifference. He had not shown hostility towards Sir Richard, nor did he feel any towards him at the present time. He did after he found out that Sir Richard had double crossed him and make no effort to hide his resentment.

MR. LEWIS—When there came a time that you consulted with your counsel, did you give him all the facts?

A.—No. I gave him only an outline of the case and asked where I would stand supposing that Sir Richard had obtained money by cheques which he failed to redeem. Witness said he did not mention to him about the I.O.U.'s. On a subsequent visit about ten days later, he had ascertained that Sir Richard was manipulating for his arrest, and he having some concern regarding the outcome then told Mr. Higgins about the I.O.U.'s and the Besco. It was very likely that he told him of his attempt to get the hundred thousand and the three hundred thousand amounts at this period. He had also conferred with Sir Wm. Coaker, and the Attorney General in the presence of his counsel and Mr. Jas. Miller. It was at this conference that he laid all his cards on the table.

MR. LEWIS—Did you know that in telling this story, it made it incumbent on the Attorney General to take action?

A.—It was not my desire, but at that time I was prepared for anything that might come. The witness explained that it was for the purpose of protecting himself from arrest, that he had disclosed the matter. He had besides the others mentioned, told Dr. Campbell about the money Sir Richard had from the Department. There was no promise from anyone representing the Government concerning immunity from prosecution in the event of his giving testimony, the witness said. Asked if he prepared at the request of the Attorney General, a written statement of his testimony, witness said No. He had written one to his own counsel. It was not a detailed statement, being only a memo of dates and events. It was prepared a few days in advance of the Commission.

Following another question by Mr. Lewis, the Attorney General objected to anything being asked the witness concerning statements made to him in his official capacity. Mr. Meany, in answer to further questions, said that he took no steps to have the commission stopped, and had at no time made the proposal to anybody, but he said it had been proposed to him by others. Unexpectedly, the cross-examination closed at this juncture.

The Attorney General then took up the cross-examination. Reminding the witness that he had been asked during the forenoon by Mr. Lewis about his visit to New York, during 1921, the Attorney General asked if he had any other business there other than bringing a memo from Sir Richard Squires. To this the witness replied that he had gone there at the request of Sir Richard Squires in connection with the Commercial Telegraph Agreement. Asked if any body was to get commission on the transaction, the witness replied yes, that Sir Richard had told him that it was of the favorable proposition which he was giving the Company, it would be worth about 15 or 20,000. Mr. Howley, as general solicitor of the Cable Company, objected that the Cable Company had no notice that they were involved in the enquiry. The Commissioner pointed out that the matter originated during the cross-examination at the morning session.

MR. LEWIS—It was but an interjection. COMMISSIONER—You asked the question. MR. LEWIS—I had no intention. The Commissioner made a record of Mr. Meany's evidence, whereupon the Attorney General intimated that he would leave it at what was said. The Commissioner remarked that the evidence did not hurt the Cable Com-

pany and they might sleep easy in their beds over it. Asked if he had told the story that he had related to his counsel, Sir William Coaker, the Attorney General and Mr. Miller, to anyone else, the witness replied yes, he told it at Mr. Coaker's residence in the presence of Hon. W. W. Halfyard and Hon. S. Foote. Asked if arrangements were suggested to him for the abandonment of the enquiry, the witness replied, yes they were by Mr. Miller, who purported to be acting on behalf of Sir Richard Squires; and also by Mr. Leslie Curtis. The latter, he said, came to his office and in a general way enquired if there was any means of choking it off. A carbon copy of a message in Besco code, addressed to T. H. McDougall and signed J. T. Meany, was identified by the witness. It was not put in evidence pending having a copy of a telegram supplied by the Telegraph Company, was next offered as evidence. Mr. Lewis objected. It was, however, accepted by the Commissioner and read. It was dated March 27th, 1922, and addressed D. H. McDougall, Sydney, N.S., and read as follows:—

Party is satisfied to grant request as discussed in Montreal January. In the event of this going through would you send necessary authorization to finalize matters.

J. T. MEANY.

Questioned by the Attorney General the witness said the message had in view a revival of the discussion over the \$100,000 proposal made at Montreal in January.

MR. LEWIS submitted copies of minutes of meetings held by the Executive Council in relations to the unemployment at Bell Island.

The COMMISSIONER said he before contended the documents had no bearing upon the matter and consequently would not admit the papers in question as evidence.

The COMMISSIONER said he did not wish to have this enquiry used for political purposes as one or two of Mr. Lewis' questions were very near the line.

In relation to a letter which Mr. Meany was to produce after lunch the letter was put in after having been read by all the solicitors.

MR. LEWIS objected to the Commissioner accepting the document. The COMMISSIONER, however, determined to read it aloud.

In reply to some comments by Mr. Lewis regarding the results of the Enquiry, Commissioner Walker stated it was his duty to find a report, and report that finding to His Excellency the Governor. Outside of this his authority ceased. He (the Commissioner) has endeavored to conduct the Enquiry upon a court of law basis.

MR. LEWIS said he did not desire to ask any questions regarding the lengthy letter and Mr. Meany's cross-examination accordingly concluded.

MR. CURTIS CALLED.

MR. CURTIS was then called at the instance of the Attorney General. He did not quite remember about the telegram message which Miss Miller sent to Mr. McDougall for Sir R. A. Squires wherein she told him to disregard Mr. Curtis' message. Mr. Curtis said he did not know that Miss Miller sent this message, but it was about the time the \$5,000 was paid to the Trust account. Mr. Curtis said if he telegraphed Miss Saunders or Sir Richard at the time they were on their way to Sydney it was possible he wanted Sir Richard to see that a substantial deposit was made in favor of the firm. Mr. Curtis admitted that Globe Rutgers Co. did draw upon Squires & Curtis, which he paid the day after this particular deposit was made by Miss Miller. The witness stated he was asked by Mr. Jas. Miller to see him. He called at Mr. Miller's office, the Nfld. Coal and Trading Co. where he saw Mr. Miller. After some little talk Miller left witness alone with Mr. Meany. The interview took place Dec. 21, 1922. Witness testified that Meany said he was up against it; that since he had lost his salary by reason of his suspension, he had suffered considerably. According to Mr. Curtis Mr. Meany suggested that a third party be induced to open up negotiations between him (Meany) and Sir Richard Squires, which would in time mean that there would be no investigation or enquiry. Mr. Meany, however, told him the enquiry had to go ahead anyway. Mr. Curtis continued that Meany did not anticipate receiving anything from Mr. Warren, Barnes and any others, but that as he trusted Sir Richard he would support him politically and otherwise. According to witness Mr. Meany told him (Meany) was going to take his medicine. As far as Mr. Curtis

knew Sir Richard at this time did not know the charges against him. Mr. Curtis said he told Mr. Meany that if it was of the type of Mr. Blandford could be secured to act in the capacity as referred to, he would mention it to Sir Richard Squires, but Sir Richard passed off the matter, as he (Curtis) had no authority to act for Sir Richard. An interview between Mr. Curtis and Mr. Miller was arranged on Dec. 20th when the matter of calling off the enquiry was discussed. Miller was according to witness anxious that he should see Meany. It transpired that during these conferences that Mr. Curtis gave Mr. Miller a private key to enter an office in the suite of Squires and Curtis whenever he pleased to do so. They had also or ten interviews during this particular period.

Questioned by Attorney General, Mr. Curtis said Mr. Miller asked him to revise a statement which he (Miller) prepared for the investigation, he did so and also showed it to Sir Richard who read it through. Sir Richard told him certain facts, correct and the statement were not correct and he in turn corrected the statement and later prepared a new one but refused to typewrite it when requested.

MR. CURTIS continued to testify that in substance Miller's story before the Commissioner was the same as the statement which he brought to Squires and Winter's office, which was shown to Sir Richard.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—Did you discuss with Mr. Miller any one else's statement?

WITNESS—Yes, he wanted to know what Mr. Meany and Mrs. Harasant had told the Crown lawyers. Mr. Curtis offered Mr. Meany a key in order that he might enter Squires and Curtis's offices. He gave Mr. Miller two keys, which he returned the following day.

The ATTORNEY GENERAL then asked Mr. Curtis if he recognized a key he produced. Miller saw Mr. Curtis on several occasions as the result of telephone appointments. The hour now was 5:30 p.m. and the proceeding adjourned until 10:30 a.m. to-day.

THIS MORNING'S SESSION.

When the enquiry resumed this morning Mr. Curtis was recalled and cross-examined by the Attorney General. Asked if he located (Miller's) statement promised yesterday, the witness said he could not find it. The Attorney General then brought the witness back over the evidence given yesterday re overtures towards stopping the enquiry. Asked what he said to Sir Richard Squires as a result of his (Curtis's) interview with Miller, the witness said he had it all in a memo which he wrote three hours afterwards. The witness said he never looked at the notes since they were written, and they had since been in the possession of Sir Richard. Sir Richard had told the witness to write down an exact copy of what transpired, as he (Sir Richard) would not interview a witness who would be appearing against him, as it would be highly improper, said Mr. Curtis. The witness did not think it was an improper action on his own part to see Miller.

COMMISSIONER—It was rather a fine distinction. Do you think it proper now?

A.—I was not sure of the object of his (Miller's) visit as at the time he had professional dealings with him. Witness did not tell Miller that he was communicating the results of the conversation to Sir Richard. It was only with reference to two special points that Miller was led to believe that information was being transmitted to Sir Richard. Asked about the preparation of the statement, the witness said Miller asked him to correct it as he intended to learn it by note.

Referring to Mr. Curtis revising Miller's statements the Commissioner asked if the boot had been on the other foot would he approve of such conduct on the part of the solicitor against him? To this the witness replied that he would not now.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—What did you mean by saying you would refer to Sir Richard anything worth mentioning?

WITNESS—I meant if during the conversation with Miller he said anything worth while, such as definite details of the charges against Sir Richard, he would pass them on. Asked again, Sir Richard said Miller came to him voluntarily and requested him to turn the statement into question and answer.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—Who was going to ask the questions—you or I? This question made the witness's statement appear so ridiculous that everyone enjoyed a laugh. Witness explained that Miller was anxious about the facts he had made known to Mr. Hunt and Mr. Winter, and the alterations were made to allay his anxiety. Sir Richard, the witness said, only corrected the form of the statement, and he refused to put it in question and answer. Asked if Miller was dubious about the statements which he transmitted to Sir Richard for confirmation or denial, the witness said yes. The Attorney General remarked sharply on the procedure of the witness and asked if he thought it was proper for a legal practitioner. The witness replied yes, and the Attorney General retorted that he would do it the next time he was retained.

Drink Royal Standard ORANGE PEKOE TEAS

PACKED EXPRESSLY FOR THE ROYAL STORES.

Red Packet Reg. 70c. per pound for 65c.
Blue Packet Reg. 80c. per pound for 75c.
White Packet Reg. 90c. per pound for 85c.

PURE FRESH GROUND COFFEE Reg. 60c. for 50c.

NEW CROP DRIED FRUITS.

Seedless Raisins Price per pound 18c.
3 Crown Raisins Price per pound 18c.
Del-Monte Seedless Raisins Price per pound 18c.
Choice Seedless Raisins Price per pound 18c.
Fancy Apricots Price per pound 25c.
Choice Apricots Price per pound 20c.
Fancy Peaches Price per pound 18c.
Prunes (very good) Price per pound 20c.
Prunes (very good) Price per pound 14c.

HILLSDALE PEARS Reg. 40c. tin for 35c.

TINNED FRUITS.

Ibex Grapes Reg. 28c. per tin for 25c.
Ibex Egg Plums Reg. 28c. per tin for 25c.
Ibex Sliced Peaches Reg. 30c. per tin for 28c.
Ibex Peaches Reg. 30c. per tin for 28c.
Ibex Apricots Reg. 30c. per tin for 27c.

DEL MONTE BAKED BEANS Reg. 40c. tin for 35c.

No. 1 Salmon Price per tin 20c.
No. 1 Lobster Price per tin 55c.
Campbell's Tomato Soup Price per tin 17c.
Libby's Irish Stew Reg. 40c. per tin for 37c.
Libby's Sausage Reg. 40c. per tin for 37c.

SHERRIFF'S MARMALADE—10-oz. Glass 30c.

SPECIAL!

GLITTO—Cleans everything from attic to cellar.
Special per Package 5c.
3 Large Cakes Toilet Soap for 40c.

THE ROYAL STORES, Ltd.

Grocery Department

Jan 23, W.F.S.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—Did Miller do all without inducement from you or anyone else?

A.—Without any from me, but he told me that if things went through satisfactorily, he would get his appointment.

The ATTORNEY GENERAL next took the witness over a part of his evidence of yesterday which had reference to Mr. Meany and then brought him back again to the Miller statement. The witness said he was asked to make copies of the "revised version" in quadruplicate for Wolvin, McInnis and Mr. McDougall. The witness thought it was strange that Miller should ask that copies of his evidence should be given to these officials. He would neither agree or refuse to have it typed in his office and sent to these people. The witness said that Miller also told him that he was going to send a revised copy of his evidence to Mr. Winter. The copies were typed in the next office by Mr. Miller's sister. Asked why did he ask Sir Richard to have the copies made, the witness said the reason was that he did not want to keep the girls working during the night on his own responsibility, but had it been during the day he would not have asked to have it done. At first he (witness) intended to have the document typed. As he considered it a personal matter, he did not like to have it done without asking Sir Richard.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—What took you to Miller's office?

WITNESS—The office was next to mine, the door was open, and I knew the document was being typed there because he knew Miller had telephoned his sister to come and do it. It was for Mr. Winter that Miller was preparing the statement, said the witness.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—Was not Miller's statement which you made in your own writing to go to Mr. Winter?

A.—Yes.

The witness said this document was put in his drawer, and had probably been torn up.

COMMISSIONER—This was certainly an important document for you to keep to confront the witness with in cross-examination, should he alter his case. Did you keep any document at all out of the series?

A.—Yes, I kept Miller's original statement and he asked me for it, and I gave it to him and had nothing left. The witness added he knew the story pretty well.

ATTORNEY GENERAL—Mr. Cur-

At this point Mr. Lewis objected to the cross-examination raising the question of who owned the witness. Mr. Lewis claimed he was the Attorney General's. The latter claimed that he belonged to Mr. Lewis. The Commissioner agreed that the Attorney General was cross-examining, thereby settling the question of ownership.

A draft of a message from Miller to Coaker asking to have the commission bought off what put in evidence.

The Commissioner commented strongly on the morality of this proceeding. The witness said he had read the message nor sent it forward. The draft of the message from Sir Richard to Sir W. P. Coaker as read by Commissioner Walker is as follows:—

Amount due Liquor Control Department provided you use influence with Warren to have Liquor Control part of investigation entirely eliminated from Commission when amount due has been paid.

Asked why the message was brought to him, the witness said "until the present moment he could not say why Miller brought the message to him, unless it was because Miller was interested to find out if Sir Richard

(Continued on page 10.)

At this point Mr. Lewis objected to the cross-examination raising the question of who owned the witness. Mr. Lewis claimed he was the Attorney General's. The latter claimed that he belonged to Mr. Lewis. The Commissioner agreed that the Attorney General was cross-examining, thereby settling the question of ownership.

A draft of a message from Miller to Coaker asking to have the commission bought off what put in evidence.

The Commissioner commented strongly on the morality of this proceeding. The witness said he had read the message nor sent it forward. The draft of the message from Sir Richard to Sir W. P. Coaker as read by Commissioner Walker is as follows:—

Amount due Liquor Control Department provided you use influence with Warren to have Liquor Control part of investigation entirely eliminated from Commission when amount due has been paid.

Asked why the message was brought to him, the witness said "until the present moment he could not say why Miller brought the message to him, unless it was because Miller was interested to find out if Sir Richard

(Continued on page 10.)

At this point Mr. Lewis objected to the cross-examination raising the question of who owned the witness. Mr. Lewis claimed he was the Attorney General's. The latter claimed that he belonged to Mr. Lewis. The Commissioner agreed that the Attorney General was cross-examining, thereby settling the question of ownership.

A draft of a message from Miller to Coaker asking to have the commission bought off what put in evidence.

The Commissioner commented strongly on the morality of this proceeding. The witness said he had read the message nor sent it forward. The draft of the message from Sir Richard to Sir W. P. Coaker as read by Commissioner Walker is as follows:—

Amount due Liquor Control Department provided you use influence with Warren to have Liquor Control part of investigation entirely eliminated from Commission when amount due has been paid.

Asked why the message was brought to him, the witness said "until the present moment he could not say why Miller brought the message to him, unless it was because Miller was interested to find out if Sir Richard

(Continued on page 10.)

In
Op
Des

Ma
of hi
Figh
"On
were sh
we no fo
"I n
cold nig
our ex
to land
We act
nablis
we avai

The
By

Mr. J. J. M
Mr. Lewis
COMMISSION
urn, when in
position to
directions.
MR. WARR
ation: The
lengthen of
e longer the
understand
proposes to c
ow in the b
r inference
ome of them
ank at all.
Inquiry com
amination a
present witn
and we got t
ould, I thi
When the o
earned frien
alited to pos
COMMISSION
several witne
MR. WARR
or the gener
body if we c
were already
they will be
all-past two
COMMISSION
fr. Lewis h
shall hear t
first of all, t
his present
whether I sho
to embarrass
by asking
financial pos
220, and at
few to show
than the interv
generally, and
he has prosp
not (To witne
low than you
A.—No, Sir.
COMMISSION
that you wer
and that you
after off sim
A.—I am no
was in 1920.
COMMISSION
really I can't
it would
ose to find o
mical position
MR. LEWIS
our Honour's
ask this witne
which may be
would like to
COMMISSION
he question,
ile it. You
on in public
MR. LEWIS
Miller to tell
his residence
blue when a
COMMISSION
ore is any
itness). Wh
evidence. M
WITNESS—
house str, and
now for \$30
A.—How low
A.—I bought
ana.
Q.—That was
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
r be good
on: when
on: it is gon
modelled.
COMMISSION
bought the
in road out
rend a const
A.—Yes.
Q.—Just ask
pend on Sir
A.—A const
Q.—That
house
had upon
\$500.00, w
ound \$500
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
now count
rather he

Mr. J. J. M
Mr. Lewis
COMMISSION
urn, when in
position to
directions.
MR. WARR
ation: The
lengthen of
e longer the
understand
proposes to c
ow in the b
r inference
ome of them
ank at all.
Inquiry com
amination a
present witn
and we got t
ould, I thi
When the o
earned frien
alited to pos
COMMISSION
several witne
MR. WARR
or the gener
body if we c
were already
they will be
all-past two
COMMISSION
fr. Lewis h
shall hear t
first of all, t
his present
whether I sho
to embarrass
by asking
financial pos
220, and at
few to show
than the interv
generally, and
he has prosp
not (To witne
low than you
A.—No, Sir.
COMMISSION
that you wer
and that you
after off sim
A.—I am no
was in 1920.
COMMISSION
really I can't
it would
ose to find o
mical position
MR. LEWIS
our Honour's
ask this witne
which may be
would like to
COMMISSION
he question,
ile it. You
on in public
MR. LEWIS
Miller to tell
his residence
blue when a
COMMISSION
ore is any
itness). Wh
evidence. M
WITNESS—
house str, and
now for \$30
A.—How low
A.—I bought
ana.
Q.—That was
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
r be good
on: when
on: it is gon
modelled.
COMMISSION
bought the
in road out
rend a const
A.—Yes.
Q.—Just ask
pend on Sir
A.—A const
Q.—That
house
had upon
\$500.00, w
ound \$500
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
now count
rather he

Mr. J. J. M
Mr. Lewis
COMMISSION
urn, when in
position to
directions.
MR. WARR
ation: The
lengthen of
e longer the
understand
proposes to c
ow in the b
r inference
ome of them
ank at all.
Inquiry com
amination a
present witn
and we got t
ould, I thi
When the o
earned frien
alited to pos
COMMISSION
several witne
MR. WARR
or the gener
body if we c
were already
they will be
all-past two
COMMISSION
fr. Lewis h
shall hear t
first of all, t
his present
whether I sho
to embarrass
by asking
financial pos
220, and at
few to show
than the interv
generally, and
he has prosp
not (To witne
low than you
A.—No, Sir.
COMMISSION
that you wer
and that you
after off sim
A.—I am no
was in 1920.
COMMISSION
really I can't
it would
ose to find o
mical position
MR. LEWIS
our Honour's
ask this witne
which may be
would like to
COMMISSION
he question,
ile it. You
on in public
MR. LEWIS
Miller to tell
his residence
blue when a
COMMISSION
ore is any
itness). Wh
evidence. M
WITNESS—
house str, and
now for \$30
A.—How low
A.—I bought
ana.
Q.—That was
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
r be good
on: when
on: it is gon
modelled.
COMMISSION
bought the
in road out
rend a const
A.—Yes.
Q.—Just ask
pend on Sir
A.—A const
Q.—That
house
had upon
\$500.00, w
ound \$500
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
now count
rather he

Mr. J. J. M
Mr. Lewis
COMMISSION
urn, when in
position to
directions.
MR. WARR
ation: The
lengthen of
e longer the
understand
proposes to c
ow in the b
r inference
ome of them
ank at all.
Inquiry com
amination a
present witn
and we got t
ould, I thi
When the o
earned frien
alited to pos
COMMISSION
several witne
MR. WARR
or the gener
body if we c
were already
they will be
all-past two
COMMISSION
fr. Lewis h
shall hear t
first of all, t
his present
whether I sho
to embarrass
by asking
financial pos
220, and at
few to show
than the interv
generally, and
he has prosp
not (To witne
low than you
A.—No, Sir.
COMMISSION
that you wer
and that you
after off sim
A.—I am no
was in 1920.
COMMISSION
really I can't
it would
ose to find o
mical position
MR. LEWIS
our Honour's
ask this witne
which may be
would like to
COMMISSION
he question,
ile it. You
on in public
MR. LEWIS
Miller to tell
his residence
blue when a
COMMISSION
ore is any
itness). Wh
evidence. M
WITNESS—
house str, and
now for \$30
A.—How low
A.—I bought
ana.
Q.—That was
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
r be good
on: when
on: it is gon
modelled.
COMMISSION
bought the
in road out
rend a const
A.—Yes.
Q.—Just ask
pend on Sir
A.—A const
Q.—That
house
had upon
\$500.00, w
ound \$500
A.—Yes.
MR. LEWIS
now count
rather he

Mr. J. J. M
Mr. Lewis
COMMISSION
urn, when in
position to
directions.
MR. WARR
ation: The
lengthen of
e longer the
understand
proposes to c
ow in the b
r inference
ome of them
ank at all.
Inquiry com
amination a
present witn
and we got t
ould, I thi
When the o
earned frien
alited to pos
COMMISSION
several witne
MR. WARR
or the gener
body if we c
were already
they will be
all-past two
COMMISSION
fr. Lewis h
shall hear t
first of all, t
his present
whether I sho
to embarrass
by asking
financial pos
220, and at
few to show
than the interv
generally, and
he has prosp
not (To witne
low than you
A.—No, Sir.
COMMISSION
that