power to send for persons and papers, to be composed as follows :--Messieurs Contts, Creighton, Grant, O'Donoghue, O'Sullivan, Paxton and Wood. Mr. WOOD said all the information sked for in the resolution was already in the hands of the House, and he thought it was decidedly unfair to call for an investi-gation into the affairs of the Prison at this ate period of the session

on into the session. a period of the session. Mr. O'DONOGHUE said he was prepar. d to prove that there was something radi-ally wrong in the management of the central Prison. (Hear, hear.) The motion was declared lost.

DIVISION COURTS ACT.

Mr. CURRIE moved the second real this bill to amend the Division Co tot. In moving the resolution, he pointe at the injustice of preventing parties t ue in the Division Courts for sums over 100 instead of the County Courts. Wi note, for instance, was fifty cents o 100, it would have to be sued in the Co \$100, it would have to be sued in the Coun-ty Court, where the expenses for the extra fifty cents would be about \$60, while the cost in the Division Court for the \$100, in case of a defence being entered, only amounted to about four dollars. He thought it would be in the interest of suit-ors to give extended powers to the Division

ourts. Mr. MOWAT regretted that the opinion Mr. MOWAT regretted that the opinion of the judges was not in the hands of mem-bers. He hoped that this bill would be al-lowed to stand over till more light could be thrown upon the matter. He accord-ingly moved an amendment to allow the matter to lie over until the country had an opportunity of learning the views of the judges upon the question, some of whom he might say agreed with the provisions of the bill while others opposed them.

might say agreed with the provisions of the bill while othors opposed them. Mr. MERRICK opposed the amendment on the ground that legislation in this di-rection was necessary; and he felt certain the members from the rural districts would agree with him in his desire to give greater powers to the Division Courts. Mr. GRANGE contended that the people of the country wanted cheap justice and cheap law; but he regretted that when law costs came up in that House, all the lawyers voted together to keep up the costs. (Hear, hear.) Mr. CODE was in favour of the bill re-ceiving a second reading.

Mr. CODE was in invoir of the bill re-ceiving a second reading. Mr. LONG took the same view. The bill, he said, could be discussed in Com-mittee of the Whole. The majority of the people of the Province demanded the relief offered by the measure. Mr. MILLER avowed himself to be an advecte of the provide invisition.

Mr. MILLER avowed himself to be an advocate of the extension of the jurisdic-tion of these courts. He thought the wishes of the people should be regarded more than the opinions of the County Court Judges. He was not, however, pre-pared to accept the bill, as it did not go far enough. He considered that the exten-sion should reach \$400, and in matters of account, \$1,000. He would, therefore, vote for the motion of the Attorney-Gen-eral.

eral. Mr. CREIGHTON said that last session Mr. CREIGHTON said that last seesion the Attorney-General promised to take up this matter this session, and now he asked for further delay. The Attorney-General, instead of asking the opinion of the Board of Trade and commercial men on this sub-ject, invited the opinion of the very class of men who were opposed to the extension of the Division Court jurisdiction, viz., the lawyers. He supported the second reading.

the lawyers. He supported the second reading. Mr. MEREDITH contended that the Attorney-General should not have left the matter until the latter part of the session before the opinion of the judges were ob-tained. Now, they all knew that these reforms had been asked for by the commer-cial men of the Province, and if for no other reason he would vote against the amendment of the Attorney-General. Mr. CALVIN tried to convince the gen-tlemen of the long robe that it would be to their interest to enlarge the jurisdiction of the Division Court; and if he could convince them that they would make as

## THE WEEKLY MAIL TORONTO, FRIDAY, MARCH 7, 1879.

<page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><page-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

they would a convince them that they would make as much fees by such enlargement, he hoped they would vote for the bill. He had ob-served that when a measure was introduced into the House against the interests of the lawyers they all, as a rule, voted against it. His constituents wanted such a measure, and he hoped the Government would allow the bill a second reading. Mr. BETHUNE said if the jurisdiction of the Division Court was calculated

Mr. BETHUNE said if the jurisdiction of the Division Court were enlarged the County Court might as well be abolished altogether; and he then proceeded to con-tend that instead of reducing the costs they would be increased in consequence of the system of action which might be adopted by litigants. If the matter were touched at all, all the courts would have to be remodeled. For his own part he did not think the time had arrived when it would be advisable to enlarge the powers of the Division Courts. Mr. LAUDER had observed that the lay members of the House had taken a

ATCOMENT AND DER had observed that the lay members of the House had taken a great interest in the debate, but it should be remembered that every time the ques-tion was brought up in the House it had been introduced by a lawyer. Now the Attorney-General had plenty of time since

last session to consider the question and he should have done so; but instead of doing

last session to consider the question and he should have done so; but instead of doing so he wished to let the question lie over in order to get the opinions of the County Court Judges adverse to the bill printed and distributed among the public. He contended that a large number of support-ers of the Government had changed their position relative to this bill since last seasion. For his own part he was in favour of the bill and had never been opposed to it; and he trusted hon. members would vote for it. (Hear, hear.) — Mr. CURRIE said he had no intention of abolishing the County Court, but he only desired to curtail its jurisdiction with regard to a certain class of cases. He had kept the bill back from time to time st the instance of the Attorney-General in hopes that the Government would take it up and make it their own measure, and failing this, and being urged by gentlemen from all quarters of the House he finally brought down his bill, and he trusted it would be allowed a second reading, and they might mark his words, that the men who voted against that bill that night would be marked by the people at the next elections. (Hear, hear.) — A vote was then taken, and the amond ment was carried ; yeas, 38; nays, 35.

nent was carried ; yeas, 38 ; nays, 35. (Continued on Fifth Page.) DUNKIN ACT REPEAL erwheiming Majority for Reptal

Ontarie County. WHITEY, Feb. 27.—The figures of first day's vote on the by-law for the rep of the Dunkin Act, as far as heard fro are as follows :—

Whitby Town West Whitby East Whitby Oshawa. Pickering. Reach. Port Perry..... Uxbridge Village Cannington.

Majority for repeal With five townships to hear from

Consumption Cured

Consumption Curved An old physician, retired fro having had placed in his hands, vegetable remedy, for the spec-manent cure for consumption, affections, also a positive and for nervous debility and all in-plaints, after having tested its curative powers in thousands of felt it his duty to make it is suffering fellows. Actuated by and a desire to relieve human will send, free of charge, to all its this receipe, with full direct paring and using, in German English. Sent by mail by add stamp, naming this paper, W. 1499Power's Block, Rochester,

<text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

