"JAMES BRATY, Jr., Esq." the negotiations should fall into other hands. After having prevented, as I believed I had done, the communication to Sir Idegh Allan, I determined to let him know what was going on. I accordingly gave him the names of the American gentlemen, who

Hugh Allan. On my return I gave him a list of names, and he remarked that he knew all or most of them by reputation. The next reference to me in Mr. McMullen's letter is to the meeting of Council on the 5th of October, 1871, when Mr. McMullen says:—"It was at once apparent that they were not fully in accord among themselves." How this was apparent it would be difficult for Mr. McMullen to show, inasmuch as to the best of my recollection no member of the Government said a word except Sir John. Sir John asked Sir Hugh Allan whether he had a proposition to submit, to which Sir Kenn to Jones at a son to the son to Jones at a son to the son to Jones at a son to son to Jones at a son to son to

terminated. This was long before and pates which arcse between the rival Companies, from which time I determined that no one connected with me should have any employment in any such Company, and this determination I communicated to Mr. Abbott. Meantime my son got employment of a different kind and without any reference to Sir Hugh Allan. I may add that at the time the conversation took place my young-cet son, who held an appointment in British Guiana, was on leave of absence, and paying a visit to his family. I was anxious that he should resign his appointment in mentioned him to several riends in Montreal where I washed him to settle, and I also mentioned him to Sir Hugh Allan. I never imagined that I would incur the risk of being charged with bargaining for my support to the Pacific Railway scheme. I desire to the Pacific Railway scheme. I desire to the Tacific Railway sch

THE SLANDER. Denials to Several of MeMuller SIR FRANCIS HINCKS

The following letter has been address

Gazette, and appeared in that paper on Jul "Sir. Although reluctant to anticipate the formal enquiry inte Mr. Huntington's charges, I cannet allow the statement made in the Herwit of yesterday by Mr. Geo. W. McMs ston to remain unnoticed. In all my proceedings regarding the construction of the Pacific Railway, I have been governed by an opinion, early expressed and never modified, which was that if the construction of that work was undertaken by proper parties. the Government and the

yourself arrived at the conclusion whole matter was disorganized and

am persuaded that owing to Mr. Kers man's most injudicious proceedings, greatest injury has been done to a great t dertaking. "I am, "F. HINCKS.