gentleness attend Him, and Divine. He condescended to eak and the unhappy, He was ut who so bold against strongt to denounce the vices of the ess of high placed hypocrisy? h, interest, power? Did-He nan? The chiefs of the peon, and the influence, to proaw. But what cared He for hinations? Read the twenty-Gospel. There you see how pressions, and deceits of the ssness to which their life had g in the strength of a deand of their basely gainedat will. Did He cringe to strong? Did He spare these pare! His exposure cuts like indignation like a flood. He ives. He blazoned their ined, their falseness, He\_hangs in the withering light of that His danger, and He dared no pusiflanimity in Him! e these cavils and reproaches gion. Come and see; see for Jesus the model of all manliit fear, and without reproach. true manhood, you shall find thout being effeminate, gentle ith all the high courage of the

h these cavils yet. There is are calling out perpetually at of Nazireth?" "Is not all round us, and which pretends er—a regeneration of society, l,—an unreality and a sham? I what calls itself a Christian after wealth, the jealousies, there:—compare the ordinary society—with its nominal re-

cognition of the brotherhood of all, and its real separation of classes, interests, and sympathies."—"Compare all this," hey say, "with the sermon on the Mount, and it will seem that our modern Christianity retains no touch of the original—is, in fact, no better than civilized Heathenism."

(a) Well, I have no mind to extenuate, or to excuse, the shortcomings of Christian people. Upon occasion, I can say a good deal about that. But if it is meant that Christianity, as we have it, is a failure, because it has effected no moral improvement in society; and has failed to render life purer, and better, than it was in the best forms of heathen civilization ;-if this is what is meant-then I say that the statement can only be made in utter ignorance: by one who neither knows what heathenism was; nor what Christianity is. It is easy enough to point to the inconsistencies of professing Christians, and to say, if this Christian is licentious, and that one a cheat, our Christianity is a sham: easy enough, but nothing to the purpose. There will be bad men, but that does not prove that there are no good ones. Come and see! If there are those, whether they be high or low, rich or poor, who, in the daily companionship of Jesus, out of their delight in Him, and all that is of Him, are walking with God, loving mercy, doing justice,-then Christianity, even in this nineteenth century, is no failure. And such there are: no manner of doubt! And even when a man has not attained to this—when he falls, and sins, it does not follow that Christ is not a living power, even in Him. You know not how far he has gone in the formation of a virtuous mind; -how far he has resisted; -how soon he will resist unto death, if need be.

It is all very well to look upon the bright side of ancient life, and to say that Christianity has made no improvement upon a civilization which had advanced so far in art, literature and social amenity; but what about the moral state of these polite people? What sort of humanity was theirs? What their purity? Where were their hospitals? What did they do with their poor? How did they treat their slaves? What was the character of their wars? What were their notions of chastity? Every man, I say, who has looked into these things—with his own eyes, knows that, with all shortcomings Christianity, where it has been accepted, (and in a less degree indeed, but measurably, by