

where k_1 is a rational function of w , and k_e is what k_1 becomes by changing w into w^e . By putting $e = 1$ in (85),

$$R_1^{\frac{1}{n}} = A_1 (\phi_\sigma \psi_\tau \dots X_\beta^s F_\beta^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Taking this in connection with the second of equations (87),

$$(R_\sigma R_1^{-v})^{\frac{1}{n}} = w^\sigma (A_\sigma A_1^{-v}) Q (F_\beta^{-v\beta} X_\beta^{-v\beta} \dots)^{\frac{1}{n}} \{ (\phi_{\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma}) (\psi_{\sigma\tau}^\sigma \psi_{\sigma\tau}^{-v\sigma}) \dots \}^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (89)$$

In like manner, by putting e for e in (85), and taking the result in connection with the first of equations (87),

$$(R_{e\sigma} R_e^{-v})^{\frac{1}{n}} = w^\sigma (A_{e\sigma} A_e^{-v}) Q (F_{e\beta}^{-v\beta} \dots)^{\frac{1}{n}} \{ (\phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma}) (\psi_{e\sigma\tau}^\sigma \psi_{e\sigma\tau}^{-v\sigma}) \dots \}^{\frac{1}{n}}. \quad (90)$$

From (89) compared with the first of equations (88), and from (90) compared with the second of equations (88),

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= w^\sigma (A_\sigma A_1^{-v}) Q (F_\beta^{-v\beta} \dots)^{\frac{1}{n}} \{ (\phi_{\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma}) (\psi_{\sigma\tau}^\sigma \psi_{\sigma\tau}^{-v\sigma}) \dots \}^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ \text{and} \quad k_e &= w^\sigma (A_{e\sigma} A_e^{-v}) Q (F_{e\beta}^{-v\beta} \dots)^{\frac{1}{n}} \{ (\phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma}) (\psi_{e\sigma\tau}^\sigma \psi_{e\sigma\tau}^{-v\sigma}) \dots \}^{\frac{1}{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

By § 9, because ϕ_σ is of the same structure as the expression (8),

$$(\phi_{\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma})^{\frac{1}{n}} = q_\sigma,$$

q_σ being a rational function of the primitive s^{th} root of unity w^σ . And, since it appeared from the reasoning in § 9 that the nature of the function does not depend on the particular primitive s^{th} root of unity denoted by w^σ , we have at the same time

$$(\phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma})^{\frac{1}{n}} = q_{e\sigma},$$

$q_{e\sigma}$ being what q_σ becomes when w is changed into w^e . Therefore, because $s\sigma = n$,

$$(\phi_{\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma})^{\frac{1}{n}} = q_\sigma$$

and

$$(\phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^\sigma \phi_{e\sigma\sigma}^{-v\sigma})^{\frac{1}{n}} = q_{e\sigma}.$$

Similarly,

$$(\psi_{\sigma\tau}^\sigma \psi_{\sigma\tau}^{-v\sigma})^{\frac{1}{n}} = q'_\tau$$

and

$$(\psi_{e\sigma\tau}^\sigma \psi_{e\sigma\tau}^{-v\sigma})^{\frac{1}{n}} = q'_{e\tau},$$

where q'_τ is a rational function of w^σ , and $q'_{e\tau}$ is what q'_τ becomes when w is changed into w^e . Therefore, from (91),

$$k_1 = w^\sigma (A_\sigma A_1^{-v}) Q (F_\beta^{-v\beta} \dots)^{\frac{1}{n}} (q_\sigma q'_\tau \dots) \quad (92)$$

and

$$k_e = w^\sigma (A_{e\sigma} A_e^{-v}) Q (F_{e\beta}^{-v\beta} \dots)^{\frac{1}{n}} (q_{e\sigma} q'_{e\tau} \dots)$$

But again, because $b\beta = n = yv$, and y is not a multiple of b , v is a multiple of b . Therefore $v\beta$ is a multiple of $b\beta$ or n . Therefore $F_{e\beta}^{-v\beta}$ is a rational