

APPENDIX III—continued.

1. Should physicians appointed to the hospital staff be allowed to serve on the staff of any other general hospital?

Prof. Fitz, Harvard : No.

Prof. Gilman Thompson, Cornell University : Yes.

Prof. Stockton, University of Buffalo : Ordinarily, yes.

Prof. Lewellys F. Barker, Johns Hopkins : Yes ; unless they have a continuous service throughout the year.

Prof. George Dock, University of Michigan : Yes ; provided such other appointment should not interfere with proper service to the Toronto General hospital.

Prof. Osler, University of Oxford.

Prof. Byrom Bramwell, Edinburgh : My feeling is against this.

Prof. Stewart, McGill University : Inclined to think that no physician should serve a staff of another general hospital.

Prof. T. Clifford Allbutt, University of Cambridge : So far as general medical practice is concerned, it is not desirable to hold appointments in two general hospitals. A specialist as in skin diseases or children might, without objection and occasionally with advantage, be allowed to do so.

Prof. Rose Bradford, University College, London : Should not hold an appointment at another general hospital used for ordinary undergraduate teaching, but might at special hospitals.

Prof. F. W. Mott, Charing Cross Hospital, London : Better not, as they would be less likely to devote their energies to successful teaching.

2. Should appointments to the staff or subsequent promotions be made on a basis of merit or by seniority?

Prof. Fitz : Merit.

Prof. Gillman Thomson : Merit.

Prof. Stockton : Merit first, seniority second.

Prof. Lewllys F. Barker : Merit. Merit being equal, seniority should decide.

Prof. Dock : Merit first. If claims are equal, seniority may be considered if the senior is not too old.

Prof. Osler : Basis of merit. Work done and published, and in teaching capacity.

Prof. Byrom Bramwell : Assistant physicians and surgeons when they are