

THE HEIR TO AUSTRIA'S THRONE



Archduke
Charles Francis
Joseph and
Archduchess

Mad With Passion Mr. Baxter Defeats His Own Purpose

Enraged by Admissions Forced From Him at Dugal Inquiry He Attempts to Retaliate With Slander—Mr. Carvell's Convincing Denial Supported Unequivocally by George W. Fowler, Counsel For Government

Never was a man caught in his own net and exposed more quickly and completely than was J. B. M. Baxter, M. P. P., yesterday afternoon. Mr. Baxter was given an opportunity to make a statement before the royal commission which is inquiring into the Dugal charges, and attempted to take advantage of his opportunity to make slanderous statements about F. B. Carvell, leading counsel for Mr. Dugal. His statements were denied absolutely and unequivocally by Mr. Carvell and this denial, supported in the strongest manner possible by George W. Fowler, M. P., left Mr. Baxter without a leg to stand upon. He did not even attempt to question the denial.

When counsel announced that they were prepared to allow Mr. Baxter to make his statement there was a pause until the chairman inquired who was calling Mr. Baxter. Neither side made a move, but Mr. Teed murmured that Mr. Baxter was calling himself.

Mr. Baxter came forward, was sworn and took the stand. There was another pause. "Am I to examine myself?" asked Mr. Baxter. "Apparently," said the chairman. Then Mr. Teed relieved the embarrassment of the situation by volunteering in a perfunctory manner to undertake the task.

With a few questions from Mr. Teed Mr. Baxter proceeded to tell the story of his business relations with the Valley railway in the capacity of solicitor. He told of accepting the \$500 check as a retainer or as advance payment for his services, and explained his action in returning it by saying that he did so voluntarily when he learned that the company was to seek additional aid of the legislature of which he was a member.

The effect of his statement was altered when, under cross-examination by Mr. Carvell, Mr. Baxter was forced to admit that it was after the appointment of a committee of the legislature to investigate the affairs of the company that the check was returned.

Explaining that he was doing so to test the veracity of the witness, Mr. Carvell asked Mr. Baxter if he was the author of the Forebushes Bill. Mr. Baxter refused to answer, but after some further probing declared that he would tell what he knew about that bill if he were asked under the proper circumstances.

"You mean that if you are under oath in an inquiry relating to the matter, when you cannot escape answering, you will do so?" asked Mr. Carvell.

After further questioning Mr. Baxter denied that he had dictated the entire bill to Miss Kirk at Fredericton, but that was as far as he would go in his denial.

White with rage at having been trapped into even a partial admission, Mr. Baxter then turned to the commissioners and made the wild charge that Mr. Carvell and his associates had made overtures with the purpose of calling off the Dugal inquiry, and related what he said had been told to him in confidence by a member of the legislature following a secret committee meeting of the leaders of the Conservative party at which negotiations of this character were discussed.

With a solemnity and earnestness that must have carried conviction to even his political enemies, Mr. Carvell gave an absolute and unqualified denial to this slanderous attack. Then, turning to the counsel for the government, he demanded that Mr. Fowler substantiate his statements.

Mr. Fowler arose and with sincerity as impressive as that of his opposing counsel made a denial as sweeping and as convincing.

Mr. Baxter made a movement as if to take the stand again and attempt to oppose his word to that of the gentlemen who had thus branded his statements; then thought better of it and turned away.

This portion of the session was one of the most dramatic that has marked the inquiry and was listened to with breathless interest by an audience which crowded the court room. There were some subdued cheers for Mr. Baxter when he began, but none when he left the stand.

Ross Thompson, again on the stand in the afternoon, said he was a stockholder in the New Brunswick Lands and Colonization Co., and thought Mr. Lismann was also. Mr. Carvell asked if the Prudential Trust Co. were to receive the proceeds of the additional \$2,000,000 of bonds concerning which Mr. Gould gave evidence last week. Objections were made to the query. As to whether there was security for the funds or not, witness said he understood there was at Fredericton.

In reply to Mr. Teed, regarding an estimate of the proportionate amount of monies received from the government for sections A and B, not yet built, the witness fixed the amount at \$40,000.

J. B. M. Baxter was sworn and was examined by Mr. Teed by courtesy, though it was stated that he was not called as a witness. He gave details of the account for \$221 received from the St. John & Quebec Railway Company, which he said was partly made up of an item of \$181 for assisting Mr. Barnhill in the preparation of the trust deed of the company, and out of consultations he had had with Ross Thompson. The retainer was by Barnhill, Ewing & San-

ford, with the understanding that the account would be paid by the railway company. He then told of his interview with Mr. Gould, substantially as Mr. Gould gave it in evidence. Mr. Baxter said that his bookkeeping was imperfect and that he had got the items later from Mr. Ewing. He forwarded the bill on Nov. 20, and the last charge in it was March 29, 1913. On Dec. 18 he received a letter criticizing the account from Mr. Howard. To that the witness replied that the charges were the usual for work of that sort.

In the course of the evidence the witness stopped and Mr. Carvell remarked, "Go on."

Mr. Baxter—Not on your order or lead, and I will not be interrupted, either by sneers or insinuations.

Mr. Carvell (to the chairman)—I want this man to behave himself and understand his position as a witness. If this man does not behave himself I shall have to call upon the commission.

Mr. Baxter—If Kaiser William I had taken a retainer from the railway company.

Mr. Carvell—Go on, proceed.

Mr. Baxter—Not by your orders. Drop this brutality.

Chairman—I must tell both of you to stop this nonsense and go on.

Witness then went on to say that after he had spoken to Mr. Guthrie about the payment being slow he received a check for \$221. "I do not think I sought this business."

Mr. Teed—in regard to the other charge in your books for the \$200. Please tell us your connection with the transaction.

Witness—I heard Mr. Gould's evidence on the subject, and I understood him to say it was some time in December, 1913, that he spoke to me about it. My best recollection is that Mr. Gould is in error. It was in the latter part of January or the early part of February that he spoke to me.

Q—Was it at that time that he spoke to you?

A—Yes, it was at that time that he spoke to me.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

Q—Did you then speak to him about the \$200?

A—No, I did not. I did not see him until some time later.

er made, and I shall never make any charge for the small amount of work I did for Mr. Gould. He is entirely welcome to it. It was further stated before this inquiry that I had made a request for the return of the voucher. That is another falsehood. I never asked for the voucher, and was surprised to receive it by mail. The voucher is here, and anyone is welcome to it who wants it. My check is also here. It is dated March 21, 1914. Mr. Gould was informed long before that I should return the voucher.

Mr. Carvell—Do you remember seeing the report made by Mr. Blanchette of the audit of the books?

A—Show me the report and I will tell you. Witness then gave his reply as to which of the papers he had or had not seen.

Q—As a matter of fact, at a meeting of the caucus of the government at Fredericton, was the report of Mr. Blanchette submitted?

A—The document you have shown me I do not think was submitted, but I have not the slightest doubt that the Blanchette audit was spoken of, and I think that some of the figures were submitted at the time, but I cannot say.

Q—I am not asking you to go into details, but do you remember when the caucus was held?

A—I do not know.

Q—Was it not on March 4?

A—I have a newspaper cutting which shows that it was the 25th.

Q—Was that the occasion when some of the figures were given to the caucus?

A—I am not sure, but I very much doubt if the figures were given at the first caucus.

Q—Was it at the caucus that you had the first intimation that there was to be an application for a further bond guarantee?

A—Yes, I think so.

Q—That would be March 5?

A—Yes.

Q—Was it at that time that it was decided a committee of the legislature should be appointed to investigate these books?

A—I think it was to investigate the charges.

Q—And that committee did get to work shortly after?

A—I do not know how they conducted the work except that they came in later and made a report.

Q—To the caucus?

A—Yes, to the caucus.

Q—Did any member of the committee say to you that they had found you had not paid this \$200?

A—I do not recall that. I told members of the committee.

Q—That they would find the \$200 charge there?

A—I do not know that. I did not see the whole facts to several members of the legislature.

Q—I am sure I told Mr. Black, Mr. Wood, Mr. Tilley, and I told Mr. Slipp.

Q—After this you returned the \$200?

A—After what?

Q—I returned the \$200 by a check dated March 21 and I had informed all these gentlemen before of what my intention was by my action as well as by my words.

Q—After you had a talk with the members you returned the \$200?

A—I want to have clear that it was a suggestion of my own.

Q—I am not asking you that, but as a matter of fact did you return the sum after a talk with some of the members of the caucus?

A—I did not talk to the members of the caucus. It was returned after I told some of the members of the committee and after I told many members of the house of the action I intended to take.

Mr. Carvell—Do you mean you would have given the money back if the committee had not been appointed?

A—I swear absolutely I would.

Q—Do you want us to believe that?

A—I do not care that much (making a snap with the finger) for your opinion from you on oath here.

Q—Do you swear that you would have given the money back if the committee of the legislature had not been appointed and found you out?

A—There was nothing to conceal.

The Forebushes Bill.

Q—Do you say on oath that you did not prepare the Forebushes Bill?

A—You better see Dr. Silas Alward about that.

Q—I want you to tell.

A—I may tell you at the proper time. You may ask as long as you like.

Mr. Fowler—We have nothing to do with the Forebushes Bill here.

Mr. Carvell—Did you prepare the Forebushes Bill?

A—There are a great many questions I may ask about and you will get the same answer.

Q—Then I shall ask the commission.

I am testing the witness' veracity.

Q—I want Mr. Fleming called.

The chairman ruled the question in order.

Mr. Carvell—Didn't you dictate the Forebushes Bill to Miss Kirk?

A—No.

Q—Didn't you dictate a part of it?

A—How long do you propose to pursue this? I am not giving information out at this inquiry. If you want more you can come to my office and discuss it.

Q—We would like to have the answer from you on oath here.

A—Do you know who removed the Bible from the court house in Carleton Place?

We should like to have your evidence here on that on oath. (Laughter and some applause at the back of the court.)

Chairman—Anyone seen applauding will be removed from court.

Mr. Carvell—You have your minions here.

Witness—They are not my minions.

The chairman suggested that the matter go on.

Mr. Carvell—When I see Mr. Baxter coming here and bringing crowds to clap their hands—we know how that is done. I have been in St. John before. Why this man is one of the best stage players I have seen.

Q—You refuse to answer the questions I have put about the Forebushes Bill?

But I will say I will answer the questions before a tribunal appointed to consider that.

Mr. Carvell—Ah, when we get you here we can compel you to answer; you say that.

Mr. Baxter—I beg to inform the commission of a little. Reference has been made to a committee at Fredericton. There was another committee and I was informed that committee received a proposal from the associates of Mr. Carvell and endorsed by him to stifle the inquiry and the payment of \$10,000 was the sum suggested for the consideration.

Chairman—Having said that I think we ought to know the names of these gentlemen.

Mr. Carvell—I think so too. (To the witness.) Who were the gentlemen?

Witness—I will not tell you.

Q—I submit I have a right to an answer. Who were the gentlemen present at the committee?

A—I will not tell you. You can find out in another way. I heard read the recantation that was to be read to the speaker by the Hon. J. K. Fleming, a document in which he was to express great penitence for the wrong he had done, offering to resign his seat for the county of Carleton and of course the premiership. In addition to that the party of which I am a member was to be permitted to have elections by acclamation in any county except the county of Carleton, St. John city and St. John county. The \$10,000 was to be compensation for detective services by Mr. E. S. Carter and others. There was still a little more I heard about it. The timber limit charges were to be withdrawn and the Valley railway charges were to proceed but not with any very great force. It was also reported that these were the very best terms that Mr. Carvell could get.

Witness—My feeling is that I am taking the responsibility of making the secret known. I have divulged the cause of the gross abuse and the perversion of justice Mr. Carvell has made with regard to myself and others. I would not be ready to do that.

The Chairman's Ruling.

Chairman—The feeling of the commission is that you should reply, but they will not force you to do so.

Witness—My feeling is that I am taking the responsibility of making the secret known. I have divulged the cause of the gross abuse and the perversion of justice Mr. Carvell has made with regard to myself and others. I would not be ready to do that.

Q—You decline to bring in the name of the parties who brought the statement to you?

A—You know the names of the parties. It would not be fair without their consent, and you are not going to get me to give the information.

Q—You refuse to give the name of the gentlemen?

A—I do.

Q—And the names of the gentlemen who were present at the time?

A—I absolutely refuse.

Mr. Carvell—in view of the statement made by the witness, I declare in the most solemn manner, I declare that so far as any statement concerning myself, it is absolutely false and without foundation. I want to declare as to the suggested payment of \$10,000 or any other amount being made to my associates, I never heard of it and it is without foundation so far as it refers to me. I never in my life was approached by any member of the Conservative party or any personal friend of Mr. Fleming or myself to settle these charges. I know nothing of the drawing up of a document such as has been referred to, and in view of the statements that this man has made, I say they are without foundation where I have been referred to.

Q—That you have not seen the document?

A—I am sure I told Mr. Black, Mr. Wood, Mr. Tilley, and I told Mr. Slipp.

Q—After this you returned the \$200?

A—After what?

Q—I returned the \$200 by a check dated March 21 and I had informed all these gentlemen before of what my intention was by my action as well as by my words.

Q—After you had a talk with the members you returned the \$200?

A—I want to have clear that it was a suggestion of my own.

Q—I am not asking you that, but as a matter of fact did you return the sum after a talk with some of the members of the caucus?

A—I did not talk to the members of the caucus. It was returned after I told some of the members of the committee and after I told many members of the house of the action I intended to take.

Mr. Carvell—Do you mean you would have given the money back if the committee had not been appointed?

A—I swear absolutely I would.

Q—Do you want us to believe that?

A—I do not care that much (making a snap with the finger) for your opinion from you on oath here.

Q—Do you swear that you would have given the money back if the committee of the legislature had not been appointed and found you out?

A—There was nothing to conceal.

The Forebushes Bill.

Q—Do you say on oath that you did not prepare the Forebushes Bill?

A—You better see Dr. Silas Alward about that.

Q—I want you to tell.

A—I may tell you at the proper time. You may ask as long as you like.

Mr. Fowler—We have nothing to do with the Forebushes Bill here.

Mr. Carvell—Did you prepare the Forebushes Bill?

A—There are a great many questions I may ask about and you will get the same answer.

Q—Then I shall ask the commission.

I am testing the witness' veracity.

Q—I want Mr. Fleming called.

The chairman ruled the question in order.

Mr. Carvell—Didn't you dictate the Forebushes Bill to Miss Kirk?

A—No.

Q—Didn't you dictate a part of it?

A—How long do you propose to pursue this? I am not giving information out at this inquiry. If you want more you can come to my office and discuss it.

Q—We would like to have the answer from you on oath here.

A—Do you know who removed the Bible from the court house in Carleton Place?

We should like to have your evidence here on that on oath. (Laughter and some applause at the back of the court.)

Chairman—Anyone seen applauding will be removed from court.

Mr. Carvell—You have your minions here.

Witness—They are not my minions.

The chairman suggested that the matter go on.

Mr. Carvell—When I see Mr. Baxter coming here and bringing crowds to clap their hands—we know how that is done. I have been in St. John before. Why this man is one of the best stage players I have seen.

Q—You refuse to answer the questions I have put about the Forebushes Bill?

But I will say I will answer the questions before a tribunal appointed to consider that.

Mr. Carvell—Ah, when we get you here we can compel you to answer; you say that.

Mr. Baxter—I beg to inform the commission of a little. Reference has been made to a committee at Fredericton. There was another committee and I was informed that committee received a proposal from the associates of Mr. Carvell and endorsed by him to stifle the inquiry and the payment of \$10,000 was the sum suggested for the consideration.

Chairman—Having said that I think we ought to know the names of these gentlemen.

Mr. Carvell—I think so too. (To the witness.) Who were the gentlemen?

Witness—I will not tell you.

Chairman—No man can be compelled to come and convict himself—not that I assume for a moment that Mr. Fleming is guilty of these charges.