Landry, George Antoine La Rue and Dame Delphine Lavigne, widow of the late Dr. Roy, when these three "endors were not the real owners of the whole immovables. "That, in effect, it appears by the said contract that the said Philippe Landry is owner of only one undivided fourth, Dr. LaRue of an undivided twelfth, making in all five undivided twelfths of the said property. The other seven undivided twelfths belonging to the minor children of Dr. LaRue, and partly to the heirs of Dr. Roy, the said widow of Dr. Roy being only usufructuary of one-half of the hare left by her husband. "That the guarantee of the Government iven under such circumstances exposes the purchasers and the Government to eviction suits, to considerable trouble and expense and constitutes a culpable neglect and seriously compromises public interescence, at Levis icient and which

erance, at Levis icient and which Mr. Parent moved an amendment, sectonditions as transfer and that this House sees with regret that the Government has passed the contract submitted, and has put it into execution the entire exclusion id Beauport Asystecuring moders are sanitary im the comfort and the

Sisters the best the cure of the cure care. The cure of the

Allard, Baker, Beaubien, Chateauvert, Cholette, Chicoyne, Descarries, Desjardins, Doyon, Duplessis, England, Flynn, Girard Lake St. John), Greig, Grenier, Hackett, Kennedy, Lacouture, McDonald, McIntosh, Magnan, Marion, Martineau, Nantel, Normand, Panneton, Parizeau, Felletter, Italian (Journals, Vol. XXVIII., pages, 313, 314, on December 20th 1902

mutual consent the contract or a the contract or a the Government da great response words unpaid only the install me due in future would be due and the cancelling of the canc

pendencies, undertaking to pay, on behalf of the said Soeurs de la Charite and in their lien and stead, each and every one of the said unpaid quarterly payments mentioned in the contract passed this day

mentioned in the contract passed this day between the former proprietors of Beauport Asylum and the Soeurs de la Charite by the deed before V. W. La Rue, N. P. "11 At the expiration of the present contract, if by consent of the parties, is be not renewed on any basis whatsoever, or it, by consent of the parties, the contract should end before the expiration of its natural term the Government shall become the owner of everything mentioned in the preceding clause, on the conditions in the preceding clause, on the conditions

therein mentioned.'
"That such stipulations are a formal violation of section 9 of the Act 56, Victoria, chapter 9, which reads as follows:
"The arrangements authorized by this

act shall not at any time be interpreted as a tacit or implied undertaking to purchase the Beauport Asylum and its dependencies."

Lost by a division of 16 to 39. (Journals vol. XXVIII., page 329).

On December 21st, 1893, Mr. Fitzpatrick moved, and the Hon. Mr. Marchand seconded an amendment that "It be resolved, That, Whereas, the said bill has for effect to sanction a contract by which the Government pledges the credit of the Province for the sum of \$18.600 per unnum during sixty years, and further guarantees the legal capacity of the Sisters of Charity

to contract;
"Whereas, In order to pronounce upon the advisability of a contract of this nature, it would be necessary for the Legislature, at the time of the debate on the resolutions, to thoroughly understand all the correspondence and documents of whatsoever nature respecting the matter:
"Whereas, The House has ordered the production of all the said documents:

"Whereas, In answer to the said Order of the House, the Government has laid upon the table of the House a series of decuments which contain but a portion of those which the Government has made use of during the discussion of the said

matter; "Whereas, The House has been imper-

feetly informed on the matter; "Whereas, In resuming the debate on the question, the Honorable the Provin-cial Secretary read before the House docu-ments which had not been produced, of which some were contradictory to those previously produced and which materially altered the respective positions of the parties, thus putting the House under a false impression.

"The said Bill be not read a second time."

Rejected by 40 to 22.

(Journals, Vol. XXVIII., page 325.)

On the 8th of January, 1895. Mr. Tessier (Portneuf), moved in amendment. seconded by Mr. Gladu, "That this House