

view that our men would be under a grave handicap owing to the year's gap above mentioned and that the result would probably be a falling off in our attendance. In my opinion the reverse might easily be the case. Many aspirants to the legal profession, and those not perhaps of the most desirable type, might be attracted to a three-year course owing to the smaller expense involved and to the reduction by one year of the delay in getting into work downtown. These students would probably be quite willing to face the handicap of a year's lacuna between the university and Bar finals.

The representatives of the Bar who were good enough to come to my office on October thirtieth and explain the new proposal were perfectly frank in stating that the object of the amendment ~~was~~^{is} to curtail the number of undesirable persons entering the legal profession, or at least to make such persons less undesirable by imposing upon them another year of cultivation. I am sure that the whole Faculty would join me in full sympathy with the desire of the Bar to heighten the standards, prestige and tone of the profession. I believe, however, that the means which they propose to adopt are not likely to