Princess Anne

there was unanimous consent under Standing Order 43. In any event, I have noted the hon. member's suggestion and I assume that those who look after rules reforms will want to take it into consideration.

Mr. Poulin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Ottawa Centre on a question of privilege.

Mr. Poulin: Mr. Speaker, I heard the hon. member opposite say in his remarks just now that I had not concurred in his motion yesterday, which is clearly not the case.

Mr. Speaker: Order. I do not want to interrupt the hon. member but again I wonder whether there is any point in going into this matter much further by raising a question of privilege. If the hon. member has a question of privilege, would he indicate what it is.

Mr. Poulin: Mr. Speaker, the question is that the accusation has come from the other side that members on this side, I among them, did not support the motion moved yesterday. I did not detect that either yesterday or today. If there was any opposition it did not come from this side.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Nielsen: That is not true.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The hon. member for St. Boniface on a question of privilege.

Mr. Guay (St. Boniface): Mr. Speaker, using the same words as the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre, I should like to make it "crystal clear" that the reason I seconded the motion was to bring it to the attention or the House that no member on this side opposed the motion moved yesterday.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.

[Translation]

Mr. Fortin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Lotbinière on a question of privilege.

Mr. Fortin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege because again today, for the last 10 minutes, we have been wasting our time with things of lesser importance, as the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Howard) stated.

We want to work in this chamber, ask questions of the government, make progress and give accounts to the public, instead of wasting our time.

Mr. Speaker, there is a limit to everything and it is most regrettable to give such a sad show to the people of Canada.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Again I suggest to the House that three or four members have now risen on the same question of privilege and, with respect, I do not think anything can be achieved by pursuing this matter further and ascertaining [Mr. Speaker.]

who said yea and who said nay. The hon. member for Leeds raised a point a moment ago and I would hope we could now go on with the business of the House.

Mr. Cossitt: A question of privilege, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Leeds is rising on a question of privilege.

Mr. Cossitt: Mr. Speaker, I will only take one moment but I feel it is necessary to rise in view of the statement made a few moments ago by the hon. member opposite regarding what I had said. I must draw to the attention of the House that I certainly did not specify that the two members who moved and seconded the motion today were specifically among the nays of yesterday. It was stated incorrectly by the hon. members opposite that I did do such a thing; I simply said it was obvious that the nays came from the government side of the House.

An hon. Member: No, they did not.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I think I have to make a ruling at this point that we have to go on with the business of the House. The hon. member for Toronto-Lakeshore.

* * *

HOUSING

TORONTO—RENT INCREASE ON SENIOR CITIZENS ACCOMMODATION—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Terry Grier (Toronto-Lakeshore): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Considering that this is the last day of a 60-day moratorium on proposed rent increases for senior citizens living in housing operated by Metropolitan Toronto Housing Company, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Greenwood (Mr. Brewin):

That the Minister of State for Urban Affairs be instructed to advise the House immediately what steps are being taken to ensure that these increases will not now be proceeded with.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity; the motion cannot be put.

AIR CANADA

ALLEGED DETERIORATION IN SAFETY STANDARDS— REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Dan McKenzie (Winnipeg South Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 on a matter of urgent and pressing necessity, namely, the reports of deterioration in safety standards on the part of Air Canada, and in particular the facilities at Dorval