[Later:]

Mr. Côté (Longueuil): Mr. Speaker, I rise again on a question of privilege. Last night during the budget debate the hon. member for Hull (Mr. Caron) made a speech.

Mr. Starr: Order.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The minister was kind enough to give the Chair written notice of the question of privilege, but as he knows the rules of parliament require one hour's notice. This is one hour that did not have seven days.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Hon. Gordon Churchill (Winnipeg South Centre): I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. May I ask respectfully, if Hansard does not appear in the hands of hon. members one hour prior to the opening of a sitting, will we not be permitted to raise questions of privilege based on our reading of Hansard when we do receive it?

Mr. Speaker: This is of course a very interesting point for hon. members. If we went along with the suggestion of the hon. member it would require every member to immediately get down to work and read the whole of *Hansard* the moment he received it, to make sure that the point of privilege could be raised at the first opportunity.

An hon. Member: We should read our own speeches.

Mr. Speaker: I still feel that any time an hon. member takes notice of something he should give one hour's notice. The rules require one hour's notice before any matter such as this may be taken up by the house. The rule is there. It does not make exceptions for matters which may be raised as a result of reading *Hansard*. I suggest that the rule should be followed in this also.

Right Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker (Leader of the Opposition): Would it not be possible, because of the understandable desire of the minister to place this matter before the house, for the house to give unanimous consent to his doing so?

Mr. Speaker: If that is agreeable the Chair will be very pleased to hear the question of privilege.

Mr. Côté (Longueuil): I thank hon. members of the house. To me this is an important question of privilege. While the hon. member for Hull was dealing with the subject of bilingualism the hon. member for Villeneuve said the Postmaster General was the worst. 2303—2631

Inquiries of the Ministry

Then the hon. member for Hull said, "the Postmaster General was a good guy", and I agree with that. The hon. member for Villeneuve came back and said, "He is a unilingual who does not believe in bilingualism". My question of privilege is based on the fact that I believe in bilingualism. I speak and understand English quite well, and I am trying very hard to improve my French.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear.

INQUIRY OF THE MINISTRY

On the orders of the day:

Hon. Gordon Churchill (Winnipeg South Centre): I have a question for the Associate Minister of National Defence, in order to take some of the burden away from the Secretary of State for External Affairs. My question is this. Is mobile command sufficiently integrated to provide from its static headquarters for a more rapid rotation of troops in Europe, in order to demonstrate the mobility of our Canadian forces and to gain experience in so doing?

An hon. Member: That is a loaded question.

Mr. Speaker: Order.

SPORTS

PAN AMERICAN GAMES—POSSIBLE VISIT BY QUEEN

On the orders of the day:

Mr. L. R. Sherman (Winnipeg South): Mr. Speaker, my question is addressed to the Prime Minister. In view of the fact that the Pan American Games Society would like to have Her Majesty the Queen visit Winnipeg for the games, can the right hon. gentleman say now where negotiations stand with respect to a possible visit to Canada by Her Majesty next summer?

Right Hon. L. B. Pearson (Prime Minister): I have already indicated to the house that we expect and hope that Her Majesty will pay a visit to Canada during centennial year. The details of that visit have not yet been worked out.

INDIAN AFFAIRS

TAHSIS, B.C.—REPORTED THREAT BY MAGISTRATE

On the orders of the day:

Mr. T. S. Barnett (Comox-Alberni): I have a question for the Minister of Northern Affairs and National Resources. I would ask the minister whether he would look into a