

house to discuss an urgent matter of public importance. As I said before, because the Speaker does not accept the motion, some members seem to be under the impression that the Speaker does not believe that the question which the hon. member wishes to discuss is urgent and important. Because of that some hon. members appeal the decision of the Speaker. During the course of the debate two amendments were ruled out of order and they were appealed. In closing the debate the Prime Minister is reviewing what happened during the debate. I do not see any objection to what he has said up to now.

Mr. KNOWLES: Mr. Speaker, I asked you to rule whether or not the Prime Minister was in order. You have indicated that in your opinion—

Some hon. MEMBERS: Sit down.

Mr. KNOWLES: —the Prime Minister is in order. Standing order 12 states that the Speaker decides points of order, subject to an appeal to the house.

Some hon. MEMBERS: Sit down.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order. I did not decide any point of order. I just said I did not think that the Prime Minister had anything to withdraw.

Mr. KNOWLES: I raised a point of order. Under standing order 12 Your Honour has the duty of deciding on the matter raised, subject to an appeal to the house.

Mr. SPEAKER: The hon. member knows very well that if he wishes to appeal, some other hon. members must rise in their places.

Mr. KNOWLES: Oh, well, there are plenty of us to do that.

Mr. SPEAKER: Those who are in favour of the Speaker's ruling being sustained will please say "yea."

Some hon. MEMBERS: Yea.

Mr. SPEAKER: Those against please say "nay."

Some hon. MEMBERS: Nay.

Mr. SPEAKER: In my opinion the "yeas" have it.

Mr. Speaker put the question as follows:

The question before the house is an appeal from the Speaker's ruling. The hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) raised a point of order to the effect that in the course of his reply in the debate on the motion to appoint a select committee the right hon. the Prime Minister imputed certain motives to the opposition members. I ruled that the Prime Minister did not.

The house divided on the question: Shall the Speaker's decision be sustained? And the decision of the chair was sustained on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Abbott	Lacombe
Arsenault	LaCroix
Beaudoin	Lafontaine
Beaudry	Lalonde
Benidickson	Langlois
Bertrand (Laurier)	Lapointe
Bertrand (Prescott)	Laurendeau
Bertrand (Terrebonne)	Leger
Boivin	Lesage
Bonnier	Little
Breithaupt	McCann
Brown	McCubbin
Brunelle	McCulloch (Pictou)
Chevrier	Macdonald (Brantford City)
Claxton	MacDonald (Parry Sound)
Cleaver	McIlraith
Coté (Matapedia-Matane)	McIvor
Coté (Verdun)	MacKinnon
Cournoyer	MacLean
Croll	MacNaught
Cruikshank	Maloney
Dechene	Marier
Denis	Marquis
Dickey	Martin
Dion (Lake St. John-Roberval)	Matthews (Brandon)
Douglas	Maybank
Emmerson	Mayhew
Eudes	Michaud
Farquhar	Mitchell
Fontaine	Mullins
Fournier (Hull)	Mutch
Gardiner	Nixon
Gauthier (Nipissing)	Parent
Gauthier (Portneuf)	Pinard
Gibson (Comox-Alberni)	Pouliot
Gibson (Hamilton West)	Reid
Gingues	Richard (Gloucester)
Gladstone	Richard (Ottawa East)
Glen	Robinson (Simcoe East)
Golding	Ross (Hamilton East)
Gour (Russell)	St. Laurent
Gourd (Chapleau)	Sinclair
Grant	Sinnott
Gregg	Smith (York North)
Hallé	Stuart (Charlotte)
Hamel	Tremblay
Harris (Grey-Bruce)	Tucker
Hartt	Viau
Healy	Warren
Howe	Weir
Isnor	Whitman
Jutras	Winkler
King, Mackenzie	Winters—107.
Kirk	

NAYS

Messrs:

Adamson	Barrett
Archibald	Bentley
Argue	Black (Cumberland)
Ashby	Black (Yukon)
Aylesworth	Blair