

1st. Said answer is not properly or at all verified as required by rule 27 of the United States Admiralty rules.

2nd. Said answer is not full, explicit or distinct to each or any allegation of the libel herein, as required by said rule.

3rd. Said answer does not deny or admit any of the allegations of fact in said libel, but merely denies a conclusion of law.

September 21st, 1886.

M. D. BALL AND W. H. PAYSON, *Proctors for Libellant.*

Which exceptions were sustained by the court, and on the same day was filed the following amended answer:—

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF
ALASKA.

UNITED STATES

vs.

MUNZIE & Co. AND SCHOONER "CAROLINA."

In Admiralty—Amended Answer.

To the Honorable LAFAYETTE DAWSON, Judge of the United States District Court for the District of Alaska.

James Blake, the duly authorized mate of the schooner "Carolina," for Munzie & Co., intervening in the interest of and on behalf of the said Munzie & Co., owners and claimants of said schooner "Carolina," her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo for amended answer to the libel of information herein against said schooner, her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo alleges as follows:—

1st. That he denies each and every material allegation in said libel of information contained.

2nd. Denies that the said schooner "Carolina," her tackle, apparel, furniture and cargo, and the property appertaining thereto as set forth in said libel of information or any part thereof became forfeited to the United States.

3rd. Denies that said schooner, her captain, officers and crew or any one of them were found engaged in killing fur seal within the limits of Alaska Territory and within the waters thereof in violation of section 1956 of the Revised Statutes of the United States as set forth in said libel of information or at all.

4th. Denies that they killed any number of fur seal or other fur-bearing animals within the waters of Alaska or within said territory of Alaska, or in any part thereof.

5th. That all and singular the premises herein set forth are true.

Wherefore he prays that this honorable court will be pleased to pronounce against the libel herein, and that the same may be dismissed with costs to these claimants to be taxed.

W. CLARK AND D. A. DINGLEY, *Proctors for Claimants.*

UNITED STATES, }
DISTRICT OF ALASKA. } SS.

JAMES BLAKE being first duly sworn upon his oath, says:—

I am the mate of said schooner intervening for the within named claimants. That I have read the foregoing answer and know the contents thereof, and that the same is true as I verily believe.

JAMES BLAKE.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22nd }
day of September, A.D. 1886. }

ANDREW T. LEWIS, *Clerk of the U. S. District Court for the District of Alaska.*