

leaving it to their teams to use obstructive tactics to delay the investigations or simply to refuse to admit evidence incriminating the PRG. When the ICCS is seized with a complaint from the PRG or DRVN we find the Poles and Hungarians pressing for immed action.

6. Atmosphere in Commission.

During Feb, when the ICCS was under Cdn chairmanship, it met almost every day... As soon as the Hungarian Ambassador assumed the responsibilities of chairman, this Commission has met on an average of three times per week, and agendas are normally kept short. In the past few weeks, the atmosphere within the Commission has been somewhat more contentious than that which prevailed in the initial weeks. The Khe Sanh case marked the beginning of this trend. Nonetheless, while tempers have been strained on occasion, they have rarely been lost and all sessions feature a mixture of serious arguments and light-hearted banter.

7. Coop, assistance and protection provided by the Parties (Art 10 of Protocol).

To date, we have had no/no reason for serious complaint with the attitude of the USA and RVN. It is apparent that American reps intervened on several occasions with the RVN authorities to urge them to adopt a more forthcoming attitude to the ICCS and its dels. It is also evident that in the early days, cooperation and assistance from the RVN was offered with a certain lack of enthusiasm consistent with their attitude towards the Agreement as a whole. There has been some improvement as the RVN came to adjust to the presence of an innatl body and the presence in their midst of reps who are not/not only the enemies of yesterday but of today as well. As mentioned elsewhere, ICCS helicopters were grounded after three of them were fired upon in separate incidents. An effort is being made to ensure that ICCS teams receive the necessary security while at the same time ensuring their ability to move freely and quickly. PRG and DRVN reps at the regional and sub-regional level have not/not extended full coop to ICCS teams or to other dels in the JMC, thus inhibiting the work of both bodies. They have often been obstructive. The DRVN has, on two occasions, questioned by let findings and statements of the Commission, and has accused the Commission of non-objectivity and partiality. This does not/not bode too well for the future.

8. Liaison between individual Parties and ICCS members (Art 10 of Protocol).

Our relations with the DRVN and PRG dels have so far been *pro forma* but polite in nature. . . . All dels have close contact with the U.S. Embassy on whose shoulders now rests most of the burden of arranging logistic support for the Commission. Contact between individual dels of the two Commissions has been hampered (but not/not prevented) at the beginning by the RVN's strict wartime