

of adoption, and does not recognise any rights, claims or duties arising out of such a relation, except as arising out of an express or implied contract:" Eversley on Domestic Relations, 3rd ed., p. 174. This statute, creating a new cause of action, "must be strictly followed, and it is only those named in the statute as persons entitled to bring the action who can bring it. . . . The Courts will not, by any liberality in the construction of the language of the Act, extend it to cases, or for the benefit of persons, not coming within its precise terms:" *McHugh v. Grand Trunk R. W. Co.*, 2 O. L. R. 600, 602, 606.

In my opinion, the statement of claim in this action discloses no cause of action against the defendants, and should be struck out under the provisions of Rule 261. It follows that the action must be dismissed, and with costs, if, in the circumstances, the defendants ask costs.
