judgment on it we think just and candid; equally distant from the excessive culogies of the catholics, and the ill-founded centures of the protestants.

Castalio's version is that which our author adduces as the extreme opposite to

verbal translation.

Yet Castalio's work is no paraphrase, such as we have sometimes seen under the name of hiberal translations; for in these, there are always interwoven with the thou has of the author, those of his interpreter,—but here a loose manner of interpreting is adopted, not for the sake of infinua ing the translator's epinions, but merely for the sike of expressing with elegance, the sense of the original.

This was certainly Castalio's aim, though he often overshot the mark,—and we almost perfectly agree with Dr. C.

as to the merit of his work.

Although heza cannot be faid to have gone into either of the extremes above-mentioned; Dr. C. charter him, and too justly charges him, with wilfully perverting his text, to make it speak the language of his party.—This, we fear, was not incommon to translators of all denominations, in that age of polemics; though we think that the French catholic translators were, in general, more licentious in this respect, than the protestants—the calvinits of Geneva excepted.

We cannot help transcribing a part of of the conclusion of this differentian.

' i hele examples (fays Dr. C.) may fuffice to thew, that if translators thall think themselves entitled, with Beza and Le Cene; &c. to use such liberties with the original; in order to make it speak their own sentiments, we shall toon have as many bibles as we have fects, each adapted to support a different system of doctrine and morality.-Of fo much confequence it is in a translator to banish all party confiderations, to forget as far as possible that he is connected with any party; and to be ever on his guard, left the spirit of the feet absorb the spirit of the Christian; and he appear to be more the follower of fome human teacher, a Calvin, an Arminus, a Socinus, a Pelagius, an Arius or an Athanasius—than of our only divine and rightful teacher, Christ.'

It is remarkable, and must give pleasure to every liberal mind, that additing of the church of cotland, and a divine of the church of Rome, should, treating on this subject, coincide in sensiment and almost

प्राचेत्र अस्ति। अस्ति ।

The later of the first place of the later of

in words. See Dr. Geddes's Profpectus, &c.

Differtation the eleventh.—Of the regard which, in translating scripture into English is due to the practice of former times; particularly of the Latin Vulgate, and of the common English version. In this dissection, the Doctor takes occasion to examine the rules for translating laid down by Father Simon; and shews that they are often inconsistent—sometimes contradictory.—The truth is, we believe; that Father Simon had no settled rules of translating; and that his superior judgment was too often the dupe of his prejudices, and not seldom of his passions.

With regard to the common English translation, (says Dr. C.) though not entirely exempted from the influence of party and example, it is upon the whole, one of the best of those composed so soon after the reformation. I may say justly that, had it not been for an immoderate attachment, in its authors, to the Genevese translators, it had been still better; for the greater saults with which it is chargeable, are derived from this

fource.'

Our author, then, brings many proper inflances of words and phrases in the common version, that should be changed in a new translation.—Of all which changes, except perhaps one or two, we cannot withhold our approbation.

The twelfib and last differtation, is a more particular account of what Dr. C. has attempted in his translation of the gospels, and in the notes that accompany them.—The subject he divides into five heads.—The first comprehends all that concerns essential qualities of the version.—The second what relates to the various readings of the original.—The third contains remarks on the particular English dialect employed in this version.—The sourth what regards the outward form of it; and the fifth some account of the notes.

Whoever reads this differration with any degree of attention (and we recommend a ferious perufal of it to every biblical fludent) will be convinced how difficult a thing it is to translate with justness, perfpiculty and energy; and of the indispensible necessity every translator is under of studying well the genius of both idioms, in order to transfer the true meaning of one language into another.—In another Number, we shall give specimens of the version; and of the notes.

... (1.1.1.1.18) ... 1.14 ... 1.15 (1.14.1.18) (1.14.1.18) (1.14.1.18) (1.14.1.18)

Territor, and of the bottom.