tablished themselves; but headded, that Mahachina, which was also mentioned in his book, extended to the eastern and southern oceans. I believe, nevertheless, that the Chinese empire, as we now call it, was not formed when the laws of Menu were collected. It was not till the eighth century before the birth of our Saviour, that a small kingdom was erected in the province of Shen-si, the capital of which stood nearly in the thirty-fifth degree of northern latitude, and about five degrees to the west of Si-gan: both the country and its metropolis were called Chin; and the dominion of its princes was gradually extended to the east and west. The territory of Chin, so called by the old Hindus, by the Persians, and by the Chinese (while the Greeks and Arabs were obliged by their defective articulation to miscall it Sin) gave its nameto a race of emperors, whose tyranny made their memory so unpopu-Iar, that the modern inhabitants of China hold the word in abhorrence, and speak of themselves as the people of a milder and more virtuous dynasty; but it is highly probable that the whole nation descended from the Chinas of MENU, and, mixing with the Tartars, by whom the plains of Honan and the more fouthern provinces were thinly inhabited, formed by degrees the race of men, whom we now see in possession of the noblest empire in Asia.

In support of an opinion, which I offer as the result of long and anxious inquiries, I should regularly proceed to examine the language and letters, religion and philosophy, of the present Chinese, and subjoin some remarks on their ancient monuments, on their science, and on their arts both liberal and mechanical: but their spoken language, not having been preserved by the usual symbols of articulate sounds, must have been for many ages in a continual flux; their letters, if we may fo call them, are merely the symbols of ideas; their popular religion was imported from India in an age comparatively modern; and their philosophy seems yet in so rude a state, as hardly to deserve the appellation; they have no ancient monuments, from which their origin can be traced even by plaufible conjecture; their sciences are wholly exotic and their mechanical arts have nothing in them characteristick of a particular family; nothing, which any let of men, in a country so highly favoured by nature; might not have discovered and improved. They have indeed, both national music and national poetry, and both of them beautifully pathetick; but of painting, sculpture, or architecture, as arts of imagination, they feem (like other Afiaticks) to have no idea. Instead, therefore, of enlarging separately on each of those heads, I shall briefly inquire, how far the literature and religious practices of China confirm or oppose the proposition, which I have advanced.

We have ocular proof, that the few radical characters of the Chinese were originally (like our astronomical and chymicial symbols) the pictures or outlines of visible objects, or figurative signs for simple ideas, which they have multiplied by the most ingenious combinations and the liveliest metaphors; but, as the system is peculiar, I believe, to themselves and the Japanese, it would be idly oftentatious to enlarge on it at present; and, it neither correborates nor weakens the opinion, which I endeavour to support. The same may astruly be said of their spoken language; for, independently of its constant fluctuation during a series of ages, it has the peculiarity of excluding four or five sounds, which other nations articulate, and is clipped into monosyllables, even when the ideas expressed by them, and the written symbols for those ideas, are very complex. This has arisen, I suppose, from