

THE meeting of Saturday, December 1st, was very large; our President was in the chair, and the debate was of unusual interest. After a well-rendered piano solo by Mr. W. E. Buckingham, the debate on the question of Women's Suffrage was taken up. Mr. John H. Tennant and Mr. Gundy supported the affirmative. The former is a good debater, and made a clear and forcible argument. Mr. Gundy also spoke well, his speech showing careful preparation. Seldom have we heard a more eloquent address in a literary society than that delivered by Mr. A. E. La'arty, who led the negative. Explicitness of statement marked his speech; the language was beautiful, and was the subject of favorable comment by our president. Mr. Kerns' speech was humorous and well given. Mr. Kerns will make a good debater if he will practise. His speech was practical, forcible, and entertaining. After an able summing up of the various arguments advanced, the President decided that it would not be advisable to extend the franchise to women. The arrangements for the public debate were made at this meeting. Mr. Vining proposed an amendment to the constitution regarding the duties of the Treasurer. Just as this motion was being introduced, the President ruled a motion to adjourn—Carried. The meeting was very enthusiastic.

*

OVER 700 invitations had been issued for the public debate of Friday, December 17th, and long before the time appointed for opening the proceedings, old Convocation Hall was

crowded with a large gathering of the members and their friends.

The hall was decorated with plants, flowers, and bunting, and presented a very pleasing sight. The committee in charge of the arrangements had done some hard work, as was evident from the successful way everything went off. The singing and music was all of a high class order, especially the singing of Mrs. Frank McKelcan, of Hamilton. Mr. Frank McKelcan delivered a spirited address. The debate was on a subject not likely to present much amusement or interest for the fair sex. The question was, "That a one chamber legislature was inadvisable in any country." Messrs. A. B. Pottenger and John C. T. Thompson, supported the affirmative.

The former delivered a capital speech in a truly dramatic way, that greatly pleased the ladies. The speaker is somewhat dramatic in demeanor, and held the attention of his auditors while he delivered an able argument. The latter included a number of very clear statements, showing that he had studied the question. His speech showed good judgment, a good flow of language, and was unique and well rendered. The speaker possesses a very mellow voice, that in itself is pleasing to listen to.

Messrs. W. E. Bull and S. J. McLean, well-known 'Varsity-men, supported the negative. The former is a spirited debater, and his speech was frequently applauded. The latter argued well, and his speech showed preparation, an interesting thing to notice in a debate. Hon. Mr. Justice Rose, who always was an ideal and pleasing chairman, set himself to work to thresh out