

Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XX.

SEPTEMBER 1, 1884.

No. 15.

DIARY FOR SEPTEMBER.

1. Mon.....Long vacation ends.
2. Tues.....Ct. of App. Sittings.
4. Thur.....Divisional Court Sittings, Chan. Division, H. C. J., begin.
7. Sun.....13th Sunday after Trinity.
8. Tues.....County Court sittings (York), begin.
10. Wed.....Sebastopol taken, 1855.
11. Thur.....Peter Russell, President, 1796.
12. Fri.....Frontenac, Governor of Canada, 1672.
13. Sat.....Quebec taken by British, under Wolfe, 1759.
14. Sun.....14th Sunday after Trinity.

TORONTO, SEPTEMBER 1, 1884.

THE Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, referring to the Award made by Chief Justice Harrison, Sir Edward Thornton and Sir Francis Hincks in 1878, say: "Their lordships find so much of the boundary lines laid down by the Award as relate to the Territory now in dispute between the Province of Ontario and the Province of Manitoba, to be substantially correct, and in accordance with the conclusions which their lordships have drawn from the evidence laid before them."

LIFE is made up of little things, and as a little thing would tend to promote, as we think, the comfort of gentlemen of the profession, we would suggest that Osgoode Hall Library should possess a clock which strikes the hours and half hours. As it is, one may be absorbed in preparing for a case, while waiting for a certain time to arrive at which one must be in court, and take no heed of the march of the hands round the face of the clock, whereas if the hours were struck the attention would at once be called thereto.

A CURIOUS illustration of the strength of what may, perhaps, somewhat loosely be called aristocratic ideas in the old coun-

try is afforded by a recent case in the Birkenhead County Court. A gentleman having engaged some one as his coachman, noticed for the first time that his Jehu had the effrontery to wear a moustache, whereupon he at once said, "I expect you to shave." Jehu, however, or his sweetheart, the report does not specify which, cherished the objectionable moustache more than he respected his master's prejudices, and determined that if the moustache must go, he would go with it. Thereupon he was dismissed, and brought an action for wrongful dismissal. The learned judge, however, upheld the master on the ground that it was an implied term of the service that the razor should be used pursuant to the directions of the master.

THE COST OF TWO COUNSEL.—In the case of *Llanover v. Homfray* Mr. Justice Peason made the following observations with reference to the taxation of costs upon the employment of two counsel: "I beg to state most distinctly I regret very much that there seems to be a disposition at the present time to cut down the costs of two counsel. I have heard it stated by other judges—and I entirely agree with it—that if that is to be done, I neither know how the leading counsel are to do their business properly, nor do I know how the junior counsel (and I say so with all respect to them) are to learn their business. As far as I am concerned, except in cases where really no leading counsel ought under any circumstances to be retained, I am certainly not disposed to cut down two briefs on taxation."

WE cordially welcome the second edition of Mr. Maclennan's annotated edition of the