

application of an  
acted upon, as a  
rument admits a  
quate force is ap-

this new ground,  
ever purpose in-  
dia however, can  
w the principle ;  
e law of nations,  
re forth, the exis-  
ust depend upon  
f the blocking

whatever there is  
1806, or in the  
not be set up as a  
out, as a cause of  
ource of any new,  
d, by our govern-

source of discon-  
sor, been consid-  
h decrees. Cer-  
cences, form a sys-  
 grounds of com-  
the powers towards  
the undersigned  
ncl, as they now  
ify the selection of  
a declaration of

expediene, seems  
e in this hopeless,  
Neither France,  
e defended, on the  
particular necessity.  
the general law of  
position, and pro-  
belligerent, there  
e one, or the other,

owing to the de-  
rich and extensive,  
parbe thus states the  
e ordinary course of  
unless it be sup-  
expose to hazard all

1811, also says, The  
the orders in coun-  
ain it by the special  
ng so continued, or

re seemed, as little wisdom & gation to yield, solid and certain  
lities, for unattainable pretensions. The rights of retaliation, as ex-  
ing, in either belligerent, it was impossible for the United States, con-  
tent, with either its duty, or interest, to admit. Yet such was the  
ce of the decrees, and orders of the respective belligerents, in rela-  
to the rights of neutrals, that, which, on the one hand, it formed no  
ification to either, as on the other, concurrent circumstances, for  
a complete justification to the United States, in maintaining  
withstanding these encroachments, provided it best comported with  
interests, that system of impartial neutrality, which is so desirable  
eir peace and prosperity. For if it should be admitted, which no  
use of argument can maintain, that the Berlin decree, which was is-  
on the 21st of November, 1806, was justified, by the antecedents  
rs of the British admiralty, respecting the colonial trade, and by  
order of blockade of the 16th of May, preceding; yet, on this ac-  
nt, there resulted no right of retaliation to France, as it respected  
United States. They had expressed no acquiescence either in the  
ish interference with the colonial trade, or in any extension of the  
ciples of blockade. Besides, had there been any such neglect on  
part of the United States, as warranted the French emperor in adopt-  
his principle of retaliation, yet in the exercise of that pretended  
nt, he past the bounds of both public law and decency; and, in his  
extravagance of that exercise, lost the advantage of whatever  
ur the British had afforded to his pretences. Not content with  
iting a principle of retaliation, in terms limited, and appropriate,  
e injury of which he complained, he declared, "all the British  
ands, in a state of blockade; prohibited all commerce and corre-  
idence with them, all trade in their manufactures, and made law  
prize of all merchandize, belonging to England, or coming from  
manufactories, and colonies." The violence of these encroach-  
nts was equalled only by the inuidiousness of the terms, and man-  
in which they were promulgated. The scope of the expressio-  
e Berlin decree, was so general that it embraced within its sphere,  
whole commerce of neutrals with England. Yet Decrees, Minister  
e Marine of France, by a formal note, of the 24th December, 1806,  
ed our minister Plenipotentiary, that the imperial decree of the  
November, 1806, "was not to affect our commerce, which would  
ill be governed by the rules of the treaty, established between the two  
untries." Notwithstanding this assurance, however, on the 18th  
ember following, Regnier, Grand Minister of justice, declared  
at the intentions of the Emperor were that, by virtue of that decree,  
rench armed vessels, might seize in neutral vessels, either English prop-  
erty, or merchandise proceeding from the English manufactures;  
d that he had reserved, for future decision the question whether they  
ight not possess themselves of neutral vessels going to, or from Eng-  
land, although they had no English manufactures on board." Preten-  
ns, so obviously exceeding any measure of retaliation that, if the  
cedent acts, of the British government, had afforded to such a re-  
any colour of right, it was lost in the violence, and extravagance  
these assumed principles.

So the Berlin decrees succeeded the British orders in council, of the  
of January, 1807, which were merged in the orders of the 11th of  
ember following. These declared "all ports, and places belonging  
France, and its allies, from which the British flag was excluded,