

costs of such services should be charged to the user department of them.

The next recommendation is:

That where appropriate, revenues be offset against related expenditure, and that votes be shown in the estimates and controlled on a net basis.

The committee's comment on that is:

As the Glassco Report states, it is normal in industrial budgeting to relate revenues and costs. In government those revenues derived from services rendered are generally only incidental. Your committee agrees in principle with this recommendation of the Glassco Report and we have noted that the officials of the Treasury Board are studying the practical problems it presents for the purpose of seeing how far the desired result can be achieved.

There are other recommendations contained in the Glassco Commission's report that do not relate to the estimates, but your committee dealt only with those that did relate to the estimates. The report emphasizes that decisions to spend are, in the first instance, decisions of government policy, and that even Parliament's control over such decisions must be viewed in the light of our system of responsible government. The report continues:

Therefore, the total amount of the estimates and the objects thereof are the primary responsibility of the government of the day and, once those decisions have been made, the most that management can do, and in practice parliamentary scrutiny also, is to see that the expenditures are made as efficiently and as economically as possible, and without waste, extravagance or duplication.

I come now to the final part of this report, which reads:

20. In addition to its studies of the Glassco recommendations, your committee inquired into a number of matters concerning the estimates in general and also as to particular items. The questions and the answers submitted by the Treasury Board officials appear in the printed proceedings of the committee, with suitable appendices where required, and for the purposes of this report it is sufficient to say that all relevant information was obtained upon the items as to which members were concerned.

21. The following observations are made as to the estimates in general. The main estimate for 1964-65 totalled \$6,703,513,508, or \$84,378,008 more than those of the previous year. It should be noted, however, that there was a substantial reduc-

tion in the current estimates of the Department of National Defence from those of the preceding year, amounting to \$109,000,455.

It would be misleading, however, to compare the estimates of respective years without taking into account the supplementary estimates. In the current year supplementary estimates have been filed totalling \$221,763,280 and the Minister of Finance in his budget speech in March estimated that the total expenditure for the year would be about \$7,125,000,000 or \$233,000,000 more than in the preceding year, an increase of a little more than 3 per cent. It is interesting to follow the trend of increases in expenditures over past years, and a table of the history for 10 years is appended.

I think the table is on the copies of the report that you have in your hands. You will find it of interest to see just what percentage of increase has taken place in each year since 1955. On the average, it would be more than the 3 per cent that has taken place in this last year. Sometimes it has run as high as 9 per cent and sometimes it has been as low as 1 per cent.

This year's percentage increase in federal expenditures should also be viewed in relation to the 8 per cent increase in the Gross National Product of Canada for the current calendar year over the preceding year, as currently estimated.

In the course of its deliberations, your committee considered the place of crown corporations and agencies with relation to the estimates. Some of them do not appear in the estimates at all and others appear with inadequate information. Having in mind that the purpose of the estimates is to enable Parliament to scrutinize the financial operations of government, your committee believes it would be useful on another occasion to examine the financial statements of one or more crown corporations and to give the managements thereof the opportunity to testify as to their operations. Your committee would be prepared to undertake such action if the Senate in the future should deem this advisable.

Your committee desires to record its thanks and its appreciation for the evidence submitted by those senior officials of government who appeared before it and their courtesy and co-operation.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Hon. J. Campbell Haig: Honourable senators, before I move the adjournment of the debate on the consideration of this report, I think it is only fitting that I, as a member