4. By whom were the complaints made?
5. Who are the new incumbents, if any, appointed since July 28, last, to replace them?

6. By whom were they recommended?
7. Did the new incumbents pass the examinations of the Civil Service Commission?

## Mr. DURANLEAU:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. One lightkeeper was dismissed for neglect of duty; two lightkeepers were dismissed for political partisanship.
- 3. Complaints of neglect of duty were made against one lightkeeper; charges of political partisanship were preferred against two lightkeepers.
- 4. Complaints of neglect of duty were made by: D. G. Burgess, Thomas Grant, Georges Methot, Damas Gagnon, Joseph Methot. Charges of political partisanship were made by: Francis Gauthier, Sylvio Desbiens, Gerard Pare, Wellie Simard, J. A. Gilbert, Someon Ratte, Adrien Ratte, Charles Desbiens, Albert
- 5 and 6. Thomas Grant, lightkeeper, Long Point of Mingan, recommended by Thomas Maher; Albert DeBlois, lightkeeper, Ste. Anne de Beaupré front light, recommended by Thomas Maher; Gaudiose Paré, lightkeeper, Ste. Anne de Beaupré back light, recommended by Thomas Maher.
- 7. The appointments are not subject to the Civil Service Act.

TELEGRAPH LINE CHUTE-AUX-OUTARDES EAST-WARD

# Mr. CASGRAIN:

- 1. What is the program which the government intends to carry out during the summer and fall of 1931, in connection with the reconstruction of the telegraph line on the north shore of the St. Lawrence river, from Chuteaux-Outardes eastward?
  - 2. When will these works start?
- 3. Who will be in charge of these works, under the direction of the Department of Public Works at Ottawa and Quebec?
- 4. Who are the superintendents appointed to supervise said works in the various sections of the line where these reconstruction works are to be done?
  - 5. By whom were they recommended?

Mr. STEWART (Leeds): Mr. Speaker, the objection which I took to question No. 29 I wish to urge in connection with this one; it is of the same class and out of order.

Mr. SPEAKER: The objection is sustained and the question will be struck off.

#### ST. ARSENE-VIGER MAIL TENDER

#### Mr. POULIOT:

- 1. What was the original amount of tender of Mr. Ovide Lebel for carrying the mail between St. Arsene and Viger, Quebec?

  2. Did he reduce his tender?

  3. If so by here week?

2. Did he reduce his tender:
3. If so, by how much?
4. On what date were tenders for this service received by the department?
5. Was Mr. Lebel's tender submitted to the department after the other tenders were received?

## Mr. SAUVE:

- 1. \$373 per annum.
- 2 and 3. No.
- 4. On January 16, 1931.
- 5. No.

### FARM LOAN ACT-LOAN APPLICATIONS

## Mr. CASGRAIN:

- 1. What are the names of the persons, in the parish of Sacre-Coeur, county of Saguenay, who have applied for loans on their properties under the provisions of the Farm Loan Act?

  2. For what amounts were loans requested?

  3. Were these applications granted?

  4. If so, when: if not, why?
- 1. What are the names of the persons, in 1. What are the names of the persons, in the parish of Bergeronnes, county of Saguenay, who have applied for loans on their properties under the provisions of the Farm Loan Act?

  2. For what amounts were loans requested?

  3. Were these applications granted?

  4. If so, when; if not, why?
- 1. What are the names of the persons, in the counties of Montmorency, Charlevoix and Saguenay, who have applied for loans on their properties under the provisions of the Farm Loan Act?

  2. For what amounts were loans requested?

  3. Were these applications granted?

  - 3. Were these applications granted? 4. If so, when; if not, why?

Mr. BENNETT: These three questions, Mr. Speaker, are all in the same class and, as you will observe, they cannot be answered. The suggestion that we should give the names of persons who have applied for loans on their properties under the provisions of the Farm Loan Act is something that, I think the house will admit, cannot possibly be entertained.

Mr. CASGRAIN: My purpose in placing these questions on the order paper was to find out what loans were at present in sufferance so that if need be, I could help the people concerned.

Mr. SPEAKER: The information asked for being confidential, and the questions being objected to by the Minister of Finance (Mr. Bennett), they will be struck out.