The Order being read for the second reading of Bill No. 8, An Act to amend the Penitentiary Act;

Mr. Church, seconded by Mr. Garland (Carleton), moved,—That the said

Bill be now read the second time.

And a Debate arising thereon, and continuing;

The hour devoted to Private and Public Bills having expired;

The House resumed the consideration in Committee of the Whole of the proposed Resolution respecting allowances to War Veterans.

(In the Committee)

The following Resolution was adopted:-

Resolved, That it is expedient to bring in a measure respecting allowances to War Veterans, to provide assistance for certain veterans who are not in receipt of pensions, or who, if in receipt of pensions, are only partially pensionable, or who are unemployable, and to provide for the administration, amounts, terms and conditions of such allowances, and for the payment of such sums as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of the proposed legislation.

Resolution to be reported.

The said Resolution was reported, read the second time and concurred in.

Mr. King (Kootenay East), then, by leave of the House, presented a Bill, No. 19, An Act respecting War Veterans' Allowances, which was read the first time, and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The Order being read for the House to resolve itself again into Committee of Supply;

Mr. Dunning moved, That Mr. Speaker do now leave the Chair.

Mr. Senn, seconded by Mr. Rowe, moved in amendment thereto:-

That all the words after "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:—

"in the opinion of this House Order in Council No. 1757, passed on the 26th day of September, 1925, respecting certain trade arrangements with the Dominion of New Zealand should be rescinded forthwith, and immediate steps taken to negotiate a treaty with that Dominion on fair and equitable terms."

And a Debate arising thereon;

Mr. Neill raised a Point of Order on the ground that he had on the Order Paper a Notice of Motion with regard to the same question.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Point of Order not well taken because the rule governing anticipation of debate was one that should be interpreted in the strictest manner possible and also because the said amendment differed from the Notice of Motion standing in Mr. Neill's name on the Order Paper.

From this decision Mr. Neill appealed to the House.

And the question being put by Mr. Speaker: Shall the ruling of the Chair be sustained; it was decided in the affirmative on the following division:—