The Dominion Review.

VOL. II.

APRIL, 1897.

NO. 4.

THE MIRACULOUS ELEMENT IN CHRISTIANITY.

BY GOLDWIN SMITH, D.C.L.

It is surely incredible that divine Providence, intending to consign facts on the knowledge of which the salvation of man depended to particular writings, should not have placed the authorship and date of those writings

Not one of the four Evangelists claims inspiration. The author of the hird Gospel seems distinctly to renounce it, putting his narrative on a evel with a number of others, over which he asserts his superiority, if at ll, only in carefulness of investigation. The Church, however, has treated four Gospels as equally inspired. Papias, on the other hand, in the niddle of the second century, seems to recognize no Gospel as inspired, olding that nothing derived from books was so profitable as the living pice of tradition.

There would be a natural and almost overwhelming temptation to scribe an anonymous and popular history of Christ to one of the apostles: and this would be done in an uncritical age without any thought of fraud. It is true that we accept without question the works of Tacitus and other acient historians, though anonymous, as those of their reputed authors. But in these cases there was no temptation to false ascription, nor does t greatly signify who wrote the history, the facts neither requiring an attraordinary amount of evidence, nor being vital to the salvation of ankind.

Of some of the miraculous parts of the Gospel, such, for instance, as he Temptation in the Wilderness, and the Agony in the Garden, with he descent of the angel, there could be no eye-witnesses. Of the Annuniation and the Immaculate Conception the only possible witness tells us obthing. It is hard indeed to see how we could have eye-witnesses to nything which happened before the calling of the apostles. Who can ave reported to the Evangelist the canticles of Mary, Zacharias, and imeon? Here surely we are dealing with legend and poetry, not with istoric fact.

Between the narratives of the different Gospels there are discrepancies hich baffle the harmonists. Between the narratives of the Resurcction and the events which follow there are discrepancies which drive the harmonists to despair. There are contradictions as to the names of the bostles, the behaviour of the two thieves at the Crucifixion, the attend-

