

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS UNNECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 63(B).

CHARACTER WITNESS

Capt JA EVEREST, 1 VRD, CGCD, having been duly sworn, states:

I know and recognize the accused who enlisted in 4 Det RCOD in Mar '34. The diff between our regtl nos was 35036, his, and mine was 35054. We served together in Montreal until he left for c/s in '39. He was well thought of by his fellow workers and also by his offrs. In the early days of the war we were all called upon to do additional work and assume much greater responsibility than our ranks demanded and I know the accused was relied on implicitly by the District CO. I have not had an opportunity of serving with him since I've been C/S, but I know him as a soldier and as a personal friend; I know his family and his father was discharged from the Pf with 32 yrs service. He also has a brother in the RCORs with 7 yrs service and another brother who enlisted after the outbreak of war, in the Red Corps. I bring out these facts to show he comes from a military family. In all my dealings with him, both as an individual and as a soldier I found him very reliable, and if I had an opportunity of having a soldier (PO) or WO serving under me with the accused's qualifications I would be very glad to have him.

NO CROSS-EXAMINATION

QUESTIONS BY THE COURT:

- Q. That is the opinion of other offrs concerning him?
- A. I've not been in the same unit with him and I don't know what his service has been like since, Sir.

IN THE OPINION OF THE COURT IT IS UNNECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH RP 63(B).

CHARACTER WITNESS

Lt JON WALKER, 1 CGCD, having been duly sworn, states:

I've known the accused a matter of 3 yrs in this country and I knew of him before in the Pf, and for the whole of '43 and the latter part of '42 he was the A/SI of the unit I was in during which time I had quite a few dealings with him and I think during the whole of that time

W