L.53427

Tpr

RAAEN

1 CACRU

## PROSECUTION

THE PROSECUTOR STATED THAT THE FIRST WITNESS IN THE SULMARY THE PROPRIETOR OF THE FEATHERS INN WAS NOT AVAILABLE AS HE HAD BEEN CALLED TO LEVON ON ACCOUNT OF SICKNESS OF HIS WIFE.

THE DEFENDING OFFICER STATED THAT HE DID NOT DEMAND HIS PRESENCE FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION AND FELT HE WAS NOT PREJUDICED BY HIS ABSENCE.

Lt N.J.L. STEPHEN, & Cdn Provost Coy, Cdn Provost Corps, having been duly sworn, states:

At approx 2100 hrs of 8 Jul 44 I was approached by the proprietor of the Feathers Public House. As a result of what he told me I went into the outer bar of the Inn and spoke to a man I now know to be Tpr Stewart of the CAC, and I told him the proprietor did not wish him to be on the premises and I asked him to leave. A Cpl stepped forward and said premises and I asked him to leave. A Cpl stepped forward and said was a Tpr Rasen whom I now know by that name and whom I recognize here was a Tpr Rasen whom I now know by that name and whom I recognize here today as the accused. I was not interested in whether the accused left today as the accused. was a Tpr Ragen whom I now know by that name and whom I recognize here today as the accused. I was not interested in whether the accused left the premises or not, it was Stewart whom I wanted to leave, and I didn't nay any attention to what the Cpl had just said to me, "we are not leaving this place until it closes". They refused to leave after I leaving this place until it closes". They refused to leave after I asked them twice, and on the last occasion I ordered them to leave. A struggle was evident and I ordered one of the men to go and get more members of the Frovost Corps. The proprietor closed his entire premises members of the Frovost Corps. The proprietor closed his entire premises if they all aeft or not as I did not remain in the premises to see. If they all aeft or not as I did not remain in the premises to see, place these men under arrest and remove them from the premises, saying "place these men under arrest and remove them from the premises". place these men under arrest and remove them from the premises, saying he men adouted a very aggressive attitude when a state of the premises. The men adouted a very aggressive attitude when approached by members of the Provost and commenced to struggle. They were eventually overpowered and taken off the premises. Just after I ordered the men to be placed under arrest and removed from the premises I was struck by one of the men, L/Cpl Carvell.

- Did the innkeeper tell you that he wanted them all removed or not.
- He told me the one man, Stewart.
- After getting outside and you ordered your men to take them into custody did the accused resist? Yes, he did, I saw him struggling.
- Would you say the accused was under the influence of liquor? wouldn't say extensively, although I would say he had been drinking.

## CROSS-EXAMINED

- Did the accused say anything to you inside the premises?
- Then why did you order him placed under arrest outside? Because he failed to leave the premises when I ordered him to do so.
- WHAT were the words you used inside the pub by way of an order?
  The 3 of them were standing closely together and the words I used in ordering them were----I'm sorry, I can't quote used in ordering them were----them now very well.