

Rankin and Rainsbotham

Jas. Rainsbotham, to date, H. D. Rankin, do

Police Committee.

Ambrose Hav.

J. S. Pond, col. jus., acct. D. list, 1892,
Ludlow,
Wm. Masson, col. jus., acct. D. lists,
1892, upper dist., Newcastle,
Wm. Wyse, auctioneer's daes, for 1893,
E. Johnson do do 20 00
Moses Shaffer, for pedler's licence, No. 2,
Maurice Hayes, cul. rates, balance due
1892, Blackville,

FIRE, LIFE AND ACCIDENT COMPANIES July 8

lers' Life and Accident, of Hartford, Conn.

PFICE-CUNARD STREET OPPOSITE E. A. STRANG

3 85 "no" with a lack of emphasis that almost

THE LOCAL LEGISLATURE AND DOMINION PARLIAMENT are to meet on the same day-March 15th.

## The Late Joan Livingston.

We observe that nearly all the papers have fallen into the error of \$308 63 became editor of the Times. It was in 1874 that he became editor of the Times, and he went from that paper to \$305 67 St. John to establish the Watchman, which he conducted until the great St. \$2 96 John fire, which wiped it out of exbecoming editor of the Times he was in 37 80 the service of the Political Tisheries ment, under the Marine and Fisheries \$267 29 department—a fact that seems to have been overlooked by all the papers, excepting the ADVANCE.

> The most glaring mistakes made in all the references we have seen to Mr. Livingston's career are in the Chatham

World of 14th inst. It says:

In 1871 he bought the Journal, the rival tri-weekly, and its proprietor, the late Wm. Elder, bacame chief editorial writer of the paper at a salary o \$2000 a year, a salary never previously dreamed of as possible for a St. John editor.

Mr. Livingston organised a regular staff, and, instead of the paper g ong to press early in the evening, the torms were kept open till daylight and the paper had the latest despatches and the latest local news to be got. Mr. J. L. Stewart, who was night editor of the paper, rarely left the office before 4 in the moining.

Some St. John papers erroneously say that Mr. Livingston retained connection with the Telegraph as editorial writer. He did not write a line for the paper after selling out. He had, indeed, written very little for it before, as all his energies were required to conduct the financial end of the business. Mr. Elder increased Mr. Stewart's salary and placed a great deal of the editorial work on him, and the paper did not publish a and placed a great deal of the editorial work on him, and the paper did not publish a line of editorial, except what Mr. Elder and Mr. Stewart wrote, for it: as long as the latter remained on its staff.

Mr. Elder had gone to Ottawa on a flying visit, to get in touch with men and things at the capital, and Mr. Livingston, finding that the cares and duties of the counting-room kept him from editorial writing, went to Mr. Stewart, about 11 o'clock, and asked if he couldn't write a leader for to-morrow's saper. It was the first time he had been asked to do so, but the night editor promptly said of course he could. Well, said Mr. Livingston, you have been watching the Common Council proceedings of late, you Common Council proceedings of late, you know how badly the Council has been managknow how badly the Council has been managing the corporation business; Suppose you write a leader on the Common Council. Very well, said Mr. Stewart; that was a good enough theme for him. Then without another word of discussion as to the manner of dealing with the subject, Mr. Livingston went home. That was his way. If he had confidence in a man he trusted him, and left him to his own methods. When Mr. Stewart entered, the office the next afternoon he found Mr. Livingston with the paper in see wart entered, he omee the next atternoon he found Mr. Livingston with the paper in his hand, reading the leading article. 'There have been six aldermen here to-day,' he said serenelly, 'wanting to how see hip me and six more threatening libel suits'. Then, after a short pause, he resumed—'Can't you write a leader for to-morrow on the same subject and in the same vein?'

It is right that facts connected with the of the active life and progress of the country should be accurately stated when they are written up, on their demise, for nistory is made of such materials. The desire of the editor of the World to shine in borrowed light has caused him to almost turn an article professedly biographical of the late Mr. Livingston, into vain-glorious and incorrect autobiograpy of Mr. Stewart.

It was in 1869-not in 1871-that Mr. Livingston bought the Journal and established "The Telegraph and Journal"

The staff organized by Mr. Livingston onsisted of himse'f as managing editor, wan. if. ier, emet editorial writer, assisted by Mr. E. N. Sharpe. Mr. D. G. Smith, city editor, assisted by Mr. Win. Murdock and Mr. James Anderson in the shipping department.

Mr. James Hannay was a special writer, s was Rev. James Bennet, whose articles on "Coaching It" and other sub-12 85 jects were very attractive.

The financial affairs of the paper were n charge of Mr. Melbourne McLeod at first, who was succeeded by Mr. Gordon Livingston, Mr. John Livingston, being, of course, proprietor and general as well as editorial manager.

\$4,355 82 The "Presbyterian Advocate" was also published by Mr. Livingston, Mr. Elder being editor of that paper, assisted by 374 68 several clergymen.

Mr. J. L. Stewart was not a member of sh . Telegraph staff at all until after Mr. Livingston had severed his connection with the paper. Soon after Mr. Livingston sold the Telegraph to Mr. Elder he was placed in editorial charge of the Tribune, which was established chiefly for the p rpose of assisting the present Judge Palmer as a candidate for the House of Commons, his opponent being Mr. Wm. Elder. The editorial staff of the Tele \$9,353 29 graph had been considerably reduced at that time-1871-Mr. Elder and Mr. Smith doing about all the work in that department, the latter contining himself almost entirely to city matters, of which

a telegram to Montreal stiting that he leader-writer on the Prespyterian Ad-

quently. Mr. Mitchell, we think, made Daily Telegra h staff from the time it a mistake in writing the letter, and a stil was established in 1869 until 1874, when gave quite a shock to the friends of the dead statesman who here the dead statesman wh 116 65 financial as well as political circles in was marked private and remonstrating grievances against Sir John A. Macdonald while it is not a pleasant thing to differ 133 32 the Dominion, wrote, a private letter to against the elaborate reply of Mr. Mu-no one acquainted with the history of from others who should also have at 529 13 Mr. Mitchell after, his return home, chell being made public. Canada for the last t enty-eight years least a partial knowledge of it, we deem \$2,365 99 pointing out to him that his references Mr. M. tchell replied, reminding Mr. will-even after reading Mr. Mitchell's it a duty to correct the errors of a con-