

## TRESPASS.

*Fair and reasonable supposition—* C. S. U. C. ch. 105—25 Vic. ch. 32-33 Vic. ch. 27, sec. 2—*Conviction—Certiorari.*]—The defendants were convicted of a trespass under C. S. U. C. ch. 105, as amended by 25 Vic. ch. 22. They appealed to the Sessions, which affirmed the conviction. The conviction was then brought into this Court, and a motion was made to quash it on the ground of want of jurisdiction in the convicting Justice, inasmuch as it appeared by the evidence, and by affidavits filed, that the defendants acted under a fair and reasonable supposition that they had the right to do the acts complained of within the meaning of the above statutes.

*Held*, that that was a fact to be adjudicated upon by the convicting Justice upon the evidence, and, therefore, that a *certiorari* would not lie for want of jurisdiction. *Regina v. Malcolm et al.*, 511.

## TRIAL.

*Agreement at, subsequent enforcement of.*]—See ARBITRATION AND AWARD, 1.

*Questions between defendant and third party—Delaying plaintiff.*]—See PARTIES.

## TRUSTEE.

See BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY, 4.—LIMITATIONS, STATUTE OF, 3.

## ULTRA VIRES.

See CARRIERS.—CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.—MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS, 3.

## UNCERTAINTY.

See SALE OF LAND, 2.

## VARIATIONS.

*Statutory conditions.*]—See INSURANCE, 2.

## VENDOR.

*Description of party.*]—See SALE OF LAND, 1.

*Liability to protect.*]—See SALE OF LAND, 4.

## VOTING.

*Bondholders—Right to vote as shareholders.*]—See RAILWAYS AND RAILWAY COMPANIES, 2.

## WAIVER.

See PUBLIC SCHOOLS.—INSURANCE, 4.

## WARRANT.

*Northwest mounted police—Assignment of—Misrepresentation.*]—See FRAUD AND MISREPRESENTATION, 2.

## WATER.

*Carriers.*]—See SHIPPING.

## WAYS.

1. *Right of way—Way of necessity—“Premises”—Parties—Amendment.*]—Where C., by deed, conveyed certain land to S., who owned certain land adjoining the land of C., but not