

given. Perhaps there was a mistake in the Hon. Mr. Young's public career, in that particular, but the motive which should be the touchstone to try every action of our lives might be in this case pure. The Hon. Gentleman no doubt thought, that by taking off the government Tug Line and throwing the business into the competition of private companies, the public would be cheaper, and more efficiently served. It is a well known fact, that the Hon. Mr. Young is now, and has been for some years past, highly distinguished for his honor and energy of character, both in public and private life. L. H. Holton, Esq., after his address to the people from the hustings, was rather cavalierly called upon to answer some questions relative to his tampering with the late ministry at Quebec, to which questions, Mr. Holton firmly and positively denied, the style and manner of the interrogatories put to Mr. Holton, were altogether out of keeping with that gentleman's well known urban and benevolent deportment. Another Editor told us that Mr. Badgley is a very good man, but objected to him for several reasons, which I suppose from a dash of delicacy of feeling, the reasons were not given. I have known the Hon. Mr. Badgley for upwards of thirty years, and during that time, that gentleman has always stood high in public estimation. The same Editor in his remarks on Wm. Bristow, Esq., (who seems to have come in for his share of censure through the public press) says that any person who has read his articles for the last ten years, cannot for a moment suppose that he is a man of sound principles, intimating that he has Jumpt Jim Crow on the Clergy Reserves question; but what mortal has any right to step in between the avowed convictions of a man's mind and the public, and address him in the following language, Sir, because you believed that so and so was the true position of a certain case at one time, you ought as a matter of course to believe the same