

mode of reasoning can any man be conducted to such a conclusion?

If indeed we had been oppressed by the *parsimony* of a British Parliament in such an exigency; if the representatives of the people had with a niggard hand proportioned their grants of money and of arms to the exertions of preceding years, or to the expectations which with reason they could form from the capacity and activity of administration for the success of future campaigns; and a factious party had withstood and prevented *such necessary* supplies; against such an opposition the author of the pamphlet might have inveighed in terms best suited to the candour of his feelings and the purity of his style, and perhaps without contradiction.

But here the facts are notoriously otherwise, there is not a shadow for such an argument; every demand, every proposition, every necessity, real or imaginary, every luxury of political convenience, has been gratified to the full extent of ministerial expectation.

To the Sovereign, whose wishes the opposition are charged seditiously to have resisted, a very liberal grant for the discharge of an enormous debt, and an additional establishment of 100,000l. per annum, have been made with the express consent, and probably by the assistance of opposition; and as I *cannot suspect the writer to mean*, that the ruin of the honor and interests of the nation have been attempted, by giving to the Sovereign and to his ministers all they ask, and by encouraging them to ask more, I cannot assent to the proposition, that the opposition have attempted the ruin of either.

To these imputations therefore in the gross, I shall