

Point of Order—Mr. Elzinga

made an appendix to *Hansard* so that those who are interested will know the disposition of this matter.

[Translation]

Mr. Pinard: Madam Speaker, in addition to what I indicated a few moments ago to the House leader of the official opposition, we will, of course, proceed next week with the debate on the Constitution, except for Wednesday which will be an opposition day. The New Democratic Party will be sponsoring the motion. However, if we decide to deal with another matter, we will, of course, give the opposition advance notice.

[English]

Mr. Baker (Nepean-Carleton): Madam Speaker, I do not believe my friend is correct with respect to the party that will have that particular day. I do appreciate and thank him for the day.

Mr. Knowles: Madam Speaker, it is true that we had the last one, but this is our other one, a short day. My friends in the official opposition have the final day in the semester. I believe the President of the Privy Council is correct in accordance with our agreement.

I wonder, Madam Speaker, whether you could respond to my request for the tabling of the letter to which I referred and that possibly it may be appended to *Hansard*?

Madam Speaker: Before doing that, I should like to consult with the Commissioners of Internal Economy to find out whether they agree. I will take the hon. member's suggestion and consider it.

* * *

POINT OF ORDER

MR. ELZINGA—S.O. 43 MOTION OF MR. SCHRODER

Mr. Peter Elzinga (Pembina): Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order with regard to a motion proposed under Standing Order 43 on Tuesday, March 10. This is the first occasion I have had to bring it to the attention of the House. It was moved by the hon. member for Guelph (Mr. Schroder) and seconded by the hon. member for Sudbury (Mr. Frith). I should like to read the motion:

That this House condemn the three Alberta daily newspapers which are stirring up regional dissension in order to sell more copies—

I rise because I find that this motion in itself misleads the House, and the stupidity of the statement in the motion I object to—

Madam Speaker: Order, please. I do not know whether the hon. member was in the House at the time the motion was presented but that was the time he could have debated it. I am afraid he is now debating a motion on which the House has taken a position and that is not possible. One cannot go back on a decision made in the House of Commons. Furthermore, this is not the time to debate that kind of motion.

Mr. Elzinga: Madam Speaker, I explained in my opening remarks that I was not in the House when the motion was moved. I do not know if that would alter your ruling.

Madam Speaker: I am afraid it does not change the hon. member's problem. It could have been debated at that time but since the hon. member was not present in the House on that day I am afraid his chance of debating it has passed. He could use other procedures on other occasions to make the House aware of the problems that concern him.

Hon. Steven E. Paproski (Edmonton North): Madam Speaker, I rise on the same point of order. This motion could not be debated in the House at the time because it was turned down by the colleagues of the hon. member for Guelph (Mr. Schroder) in the Liberal Party.

Madam Speaker: Order, please. I just said that it was not a point of order, therefore I cannot accept the point of order of the hon. member for Edmonton North (Mr. Paproski) either.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

AERONAUTICS ACT

AMENDMENT RESPECTING INCLUSIVE AIR CHARTER TOURS

Mr. Hal Herbert (Vaudreuil) moved for leave to introduce Bill C-633, respecting inclusive air charters.

Some hon. Members: Explain.

Mr. Herbert: Madam Speaker, the purpose of this bill is to discourage operators of inclusive air charter tours from overbooking or otherwise failing to provide the accommodation that is advertised as part of the tour.

At present, the customer is only reimbursed for the value of what he does not receive, not for any inconvenience that results from being stranded.

The bill would impose a penalty of five times the value of any part of the tour omitted, payable to the customer.

Motion agreed to, bill read the first time and ordered to be printed.

* * *

PETITIONS

MR. FULTON—DUMPING OF TOXIC MINE TAILINGS

Mr. Jim Fulton (Skeena): Madam Speaker, I wish to present to the House today a petition compiled by the Anglican Church of Canada. This petition has been signed by Canadians from all parts of the country. This petition calls on the government to withdraw special order in council SOR