Foreign Takeovers Review Act

been suggested. If countries want something from us, let us trade something with them in return. It seems to me the government has made some poor deals of this nature. In effect, we have been backwoods boys dealing with shrewd businessmen.

These are not hard and fast suggestions but I did not want the debate to go by without suggesting that we look at other ways of solving our problems. It is not enough simply to take a negative stand and allow foreign capital to come into Canada only on certain conditions. Let us look at developing Canadian industry in a positive way. If we do this, some of our problems may solve themselves.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, may I rise, not to take part in this debate but to speak to a point of order. I think you will find that there is agreement among the parties that if a vote on the second reading of this bill is called for—and I can tell you that one will be called—perhaps it could be made an order of the House that the vote be taken not at this time but at 9.45 p.m. tomorrow night. I point out that tomorrow is an opposition day under the aegis of the Progressive Conservatives, and I believe that the House leader for that party will confirm that he and his colleagues are agreeable to this suggestion.

Mr. Baldwin: Yes, Mr. Speaker, we agree; and I should like to think that the government would be quite prepared to sacrifice the time of one of its members from a quarter to ten until ten o'clock tomorrow. I think it would be a good idea if the vote were held tomorrow because it would enable hon. members to read their speeches and decide which way to vote.

Mr. Lang: That would be agreeable to us, Mr. Speaker.

[Translation]

Mr. Adrien Lambert (Bellechasse): Mr. Speaker, I support the proposal which the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) has just made, and I understand that it would be in the interest of the House that we proceed with the vote tomorrow night, as suggested.

.[English]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Hon. members have heard the House leaders of the various parties. Is it agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the House ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those in favour of the motion will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

[Mr. Thomson.]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it. And more than five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Pursuant to special order made earlier this day, a recorded division on the motion stands deferred till tomorrow at 9.45 p.m.

CANADIAN WHEAT BOARD ACT

AMENDMENTS RESPECTING DETERMINATION OF PAYMENT FOR WHEAT—EXTENSION OF APPLICATION TO FLAXSEED, RYE AND RAPESEED

The House proceeded to the consideration of Bill C-204, to amend the Canadian Wheat Board Act, as reported from the Standing Committee on Agriculture.

• (2130

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. Before I put the motions which are before the House at the report stage, I might say it would appear that motion No. 1, standing in the name of the hon. member for Saskatoon-Biggar (Mr. Gleave), is defective in that it not only purports to amend Bill C-204 but it also would rescind section 35(1) of the main act and, in effect, substitute for that section a new and substantive proposal. This is the thought the Chair has at this moment. To indicate the authority for the view the Chair takes, subject to whatever arguments hon. members wish to make on the point of order I should like to refer hon. members to May's Seventeenth Edition, page 549, where it is stated:

An amendment is out of order if it is irrelvant to the subject matter or beyond the scope of the bill, or if it is irrelvant to the subject matter or beyond the scope of the clause under consideration. Amendments which are irrelvant to the clause under consideration should, as a general rule, if they are within the scope of the bill be moved as new clauses.

If hon. members would like to assist the Chair on the procedural point with reference to motion No. 1, I would be pleased to hear them.

Mr. Gleave: Mr. Speaker, I submit that the amendment is in line with the purpose of the bill. It was proposed as part of the bill when it was first presented to this House for consideration; therefore, the government and those responsible for bringing in the bill must have considered this matter as relevant to the bill.

The bill deals with the matter of quotas. That is an inherent part of the measure before us. One of the main purposes of Bill C-204 is to regularize and make legal, by amendments to the Canadian Wheat Board Act, certain procedures the Canadian Wheat Board has been following in administering quotas and establishing the rights of farmers to deliver their product at a given point of time to a delivery point to which they are limited, plus an alternative. This is one of the main purposes of Bill C-204 dealing with control of flax, rye and rapeseed.

I refer to this matter specifically in the amendment; therefore, I maintain it is within the four corners of the bill. I gather from the minister's attitude that he agrees with me on this point. For that reason I suggest the amendment is in order.

Mr. Lang: Mr. Speaker, I should just like to make the very brief point that whatever the situation may be in