

Garage, trucking, \$25; Frank A. Bill & Son, Ltd., hardware, \$401.08; J. F. McKenzie, logs, \$52.64; W. D. Grant, trucking \$27.15; William Faye, hauling ballast, \$212.50; John Squires, Jr., hauling stone, \$215; The Sydney Foundry & Machine Works, \$59.90; R. J. Fife, planks, \$53.85; R. Musgrave & Sons, blacksmithing, \$408.86; Gordon Stevenson, car hire, \$3.50; Rod. McLeod, hiring of boat, \$2; K. McKay, disbursements, \$3.23; total, \$3,783.08.

Grand total, \$5,575.70.

4. Work completed; no balance remains unpaid.

MOOSE JAW, SASK., ARMOURIES

Mr. PERLEY (Qu'Appelle):

1. Have the armouries at Moose Jaw recently been, in whole or in part, destroyed by fire?

2. If so: (a) what are the details of the damage; (b) what arrangements are being made for repairs; (c) have tenders been called for in respect of such repairs; (d) if so, by what firms or persons were tenders submitted, and what are the details of such tenders; (e) have any contracts for such repairs been awarded, and, if so, to whom?

Mr. MACKENZIE (Vancouver):

1. Yes.

2. Preliminary report received is to the effect that the north end of the building is completely gutted, and estimated structural damage involved about \$40,000.

Further details yet to be reported, and as soon as received, the question as to what steps required to carry out the necessary repairs will be immediately considered.

CANADA GAZETTE—LIST OF REGISTRARS OF ELECTORS

Mr. WERMENLINGER:

1. By whom was the king's printer requested to insert the list of registrars of electors in Canada Gazette of January 16, 1937?

2. What was the date of this request?

3. Was the king's printer requested to hold the publication of the list of registrars of electors until the month of March next?

4. What were the actual expenses incurred in the printing of the list of registrars of electors in the Canada Gazette of January 16 last, for (a) typesetting (b) paper, presswork, overhead, etc.?

Mr. RINFRET:

1. Dominion franchise commissioner, but no date requested for insertion;

2. January 12th, 1937.

3. No.

4. (a) and (b)—cost not appreciable in amount and not susceptible of calculation in items stated.

MONT-JOLI, QUE., POSTMASTER

Mr. WERMENLINGER:

When, and where was the inquiry held, relative to the dismissal of E. Levesque, postmaster at Mont-Joli, Rimouski county, as mentioned in the minister's answer, reported in Hansard of February 4, 1937?

Mr. ELLIOTT (Middlesex): Decision to change postmaster was reached following an enquiry conducted by the district director of postal services, Quebec, March-April, 1936, in connection with a parcel mailed at Mont Joli prepaid with previously used stamps, and related irregularities.

NATIONAL HARBOURS BOARD

Mr. GARIEPY:

1. Has the governor in council, under section 6 of the National Harbours Board Act, 1936, transferred to the National Harbours Board any ports for administration, management and control, other than those of Halifax, Saint John, Chicoutimi, Quebec, Three Rivers, Montreal and Vancouver?

2. If so, what are these other ports and upon what date were they so transferred?

Mr. HOWE:

1. No.

2. Answered by No. 1.

*ST. LAWRENCE RIVER MARINE EMPLOYEES

Mr. GARIEPY:

1. What are the names, occupations and domiciles of the individuals employed by the Department of Marine, during 1936, on the St. Lawrence river, on dredges or icebreakers?

2. What is the number of persons employed, in said department, in any capacity, either temporarily or permanently, during the same period?

3. By whom was each of these individuals recommended for employment?

Mr. HOWE: This question involves names and records of over 5,000 employees in the Department of Marine. It would be necessary to search the records for the last thirty or thirty-five years to ascertain who recommended these employees. This would be a practically impossible task, one which certainly could not be completed for presentation at this session, and probably not at the next.

Question dropped.

RICHELIEU RIVER IMPROVEMENTS

Mr. GARIEPY:

1. Has the government spent the full amount contained in the special supplementary estimates for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1937, and reading as follows: "Richelieu river; improvement of river and Chambly canal system, \$500,000"?

2. If not, how much of same was spent?

3. By what department of the government was that expenditure made?

4. Was the work done by day labour or by contract?

5. If by contract, was it allotted by tender?

6. If by tender, who were the tenderers, and what was the respective amount of each tender?