tabes or after labor, retention from a sense of modesty associated with the use of the catheter is a prolific cause.

Ill-health renders the whole body liable to the invasion of organisms, and coupled with any of the preceding factors renders the bladder a locus minimæ resistentæ.

What are the organisms, then, which serve in the presence of such predisposing conditions, to bring about and maintain a cystitis?

I turn to answer this question to an admirable summary of my own cases, made by Dr. T. R. Brown, and published in the Johns Hopkins Hospital Reports, Vol. X., Nos. 1 and 2 for 1901.

There were twenty-five cases of acute cystitis, which revealed the presence of

B. coli communis	
Staph. pyogenes albus	5 times
Staph. pyog. aureus	
B. pyocy. aneus	
B. typhosus	
Protens vulg	I time

## And in 22 cases of chronic cystitis, Dr. Brown found:

·	
B. coli communis	11 times
Staphyloc. pyogenes aureus	3 times
albus	2 times
B. coli communis (with tub. bac.)	ı time
Unidentified (possibly a variety of the B. coli)	ı time
Pyuria sterile	2 times
A staphyloc. albus (which, decomposed in urea,	
was pyogenic, but either did not liquefy	
gelatine or did so extremely slowly)	2 times

There were also six cases of tuberculous cystitis.

Contrast these findings with those of Melchior, and you will find the similarity is in some respects a striking one. (Fr. VIII., 201.)

Melchior examined thirty-six cases of cystitis (seventeen women) and found:

B coli communis	25-17 pure cultures
Streptococcus pyogens	5 3
Protens Hauser	4— I
B. Tuberculosis	
Diplococ. ured liquef	
Staphyloc. " Lundstrom	3— 1
Streplobac anthracoides	3
Gonococcus Neisser	1
Typhus b.	