

tion, spoke as follows in the Council: "Gentlemen,—In this report you will see that we had two sessions, and the evidence was not very satisfactory at the first session, and we had a second meeting, and our report is as follows: 'That we find those charges proved. It is for the Council to deal with this case as they may deem fit.'"

It was then moved by Dr. Ryan, and seconded by Dr. Glasgow, that the report be adopted, and that the name of the said Alexander Crichton be erased from the said Register, and that the Registrar be and he is hereby directed to erase from the Register the name of the said Alexander Crichton.

After the discussion engaged in by the members of the Council, the solicitor for the Council, the accused Dr. Crichton and his solicitor, it was decided that Dr. Crichton's name would not be removed from the Register until he had an opportunity of appeal. This means that Dr. Crichton's name was never removed from the Register.

Dr. Crichton appealed to the Divisional Court against the action of the Council, and the trial, which extended over three days, was held in Toronto, December 13th, 14th and 15th. Mr. W. F. Kerr, of Cobourg, who appeared for Dr. Crichton, in the argument before the Court maintained that Dr. Crichton was not given a fair trial; that he was charged with advertising, but convicted of fraud on an attempt to deceive the public; that the members of the Council had not read all the evidence, but had relied upon the report of a committee; that no test of the preparation had ever been made, and that the Council undertook to find, proven against his client certain things that, even if they were true, and he held that they were not, would not constitute infamous or disgraceful conduct.

We find the verdict of the court in the Toronto daily papers of December 17th, under the following headlines: In the *Globe*, "Medical Council Wronged Doctor," and in the *Mail and Empire*, "Medical Council is Called Down." The court allowed the appeal, and instructed that the appellant's name be restored (that is, if it had been erased), but did so without prejudice as to the question whether on subsequent enquiry there may appear sufficient cause for erasing his name.