
CORRESPONDENCE.

COUNTY LAW ASSOCIATIONS.

To the Editor of the Canada Law Journal.

SIR,—It was disappointing to note that none of the profession have seen fit so far to make any comment upon the proposition contained in my letter in your issue of April 1st, as to forming a Provincial Federation of the County Law Library Associations. Perhaps all agree that it is advisable, and proceeding upon this assumption I would suggest that representatives from the several County Associations, and also from the counties where there are no associations, meet some time next July in Toronto to discuss the advisability of forming such an organization, and if thought expedient, proceed with the formation. Two representatives would probably be enough from each County or County Association. It is said by some that there is not enough cohesion between the members of the profession in this province to organize such an association. If there are any benefits to flow from it there should be enough cohesion. I hope the advisability of holding this meeting in July next will be fully discussed by the profession.

Yours, etc.,

W. C. MIKEL.

Belleville, April 25th, 1896.

THE ONTARIO REPORTS.

To the Editor of the Canada Law Journal.

SIR,—There has been I think for the past few years a decided improvement in reporting the decisions of our Provincial Courts, but are not too many cases reported? Only those cases should be reported that involve what has hitherto been doubtful law, or decisions upon statutes in which the language invites litigation on reasonable grounds. Also, are not especially the decisions of Courts of the first instance too frequently reported?

For example, let us glance at a recent number of the Ontario Reports. Why was it necessary to report *King v. Yorston*,