# Séparation de corps-Alimentary allowance.

Held, That where the judgment maintains a demand for separation from bed and board, based on the desertion of the husband and his refusal to support his wife, the infidelity of the wife does not deprive her of the right to alimentary an allowance.-Desmarais v٠ Gagnon, Tait, J., June 28, 1887.

## Insurance, Life-New trial, grounds for-Facts defined for jury-Misdirection.

Held, Where the parties go to trial without objection to the questions settled for the jury, and without appeal from the interlocutory judgment defining them, they cannot afterwards urge the vagueness or insufficiency of the questions as ground for a new trial.

2. If no objection has been made to the judge's charge, and the charge has not been put in writing, misdirection cannot afterwards be invoked by either party.

3. The fact that the deposition of a witness who had been previously examined by consent of the parties, was read to the jury in his absence, is not ground for a new trial, where no injustice appears to have been suffered by the party complaining.

4. Where the question put to the jury was whether a statement of the assured was "untrue to his knowledge," and they answered "untrue," the answer may be taken to mean "untrue to his knowledge."

5. Where a motion was made and granted, that the word "wilfully " should be inserted before the word "withheld " in one of the questions for the jury, but the amendment was not inserted in the printed list of questions handed to the jury, the omission was held to be immaterial where it appeared that the attention of the jury was, as a matter of fact, directed to the effect of the amendment . and in any case the proper recourse would have been, not by motion for a new trial, but for an arrest of judgment.-Brossard v. The Canada Life Assurance Co., in review, Johnson, Taschereau, Tait, JJ., June 30, 1887.

## Opposition à jugement-Motion pour faire rejeter.

Jugé, Qu'une opposition à jugement, admise sur l'ordre d'un juge, est de la nature d'un plaidoyer, et ne peut être renvoyée sur une simple motion alléguant des moyeus à la forme et présentée en dehors des délais voulus pour la production des exceptions préliminaires .- Devin v. Ollivon, et Ollivon, oppt., en révision, Johnson, Papineau, Loranger, JJ., 30 déc. 1887.

### INSOLVENT NOTICES, ETC. Quebec Official Gazette, April 14. Judicial Abandonments.

Dunn & Healy, traders, Windsor Mills, April 7. Narcisse Alcide Guilbault, Joliette, April 10.

### Curators appointed.

Re J. V. Dugal, tanner, St. Roch de Québec .- D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, April 12.

Re P. L. Bergeron, Ste. Eulalie.-Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, April 4.

Re Achille Gagnon, Arthabaskaville.-L. Lavergne, N.P., Arthabaskaville, curator, Feb. 16.

Re M. Germain & Frère .- F. Gourdeau, Quebec, curator, April 3.

Re Edmond Julien, tanner, Hedleyville.-H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, April 9.

Re Plamondon & Auger, lumber merchants.-D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, April 9.

#### Dividends.

Re Dame Marie Hélène Despins (A. H. Germain & Cie )-Dividend, payable May 6, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Athanase Boucher, St. Guillaume .- Dividend, payable May 6, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re J. A. Genest & Cie.-Dividend, payable May 12, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re N. B. Mongeon, Sorel.-First dividend, payable May 6, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re Rosario Roussille, Terrebonne.-First dividend, payable April 24, O. Fourget, Terrebonne, curator.

### Separation as to Property.

Elise Aubertin vs. Alfred Cusson, founder, Montreal, pril 9. Marie Eugénie Bouchard vs. Auguste Rémi Hudon,

trader, township of Weedon, April 12. Clara Dufresne vs. Olivier H. Mallette, trader, Mon-treal, March 26.

Rosina Indermuhle vs. Henri Eggers, Montreal, March 21.

Elmire Létournean vs. Simeon Circer dit St. Michel, Montreal, Feb. 24.

#### Miscellaneous.

An extraordinary term of the Court of Queen's Bench, Crown side, is to be held at Montreal, com-mencing May 18. James T. Tuzo, of Percé, has been appointed Sheriff of the County of (Aspé. Art. 21 of the Order in Council of 26th April, 1850, imposing a tax on subponas, and Art. 18, in so far as as it applies to rules for articulated facts, are revoked,