Best 14 lbs field carrot seed, W. Bissell, London, \$6; 2nd do, D. Falconer, Fullerton, \$4; 3rd do, H. Gircuard, Ham Iton, \$2.

Best 12 lbs. mangel wurzel seed, L. Simpson, Talbotville, \$6; 2nd do, D. Falconer, Fullerton, \$4; 3rd do, G. G. Ward, Cashmere \$3.

Best bale of hops, not less than 112 lbs. J. Stevenson, London Township, \$20; 2nd do, J. Russeil, London Township, 12; 3rd do, R. Reid, London Township, \$8.

Best bushel buckwheat, J. N. Hunt, Talbotville, \$4; 2nd do, W. F. Smith, Lambeth, \$2; 3rd do, J. E. Couse, St. Thomas, vol. Transactions.

Best bushel Milet, A. G. Deadman, Delaware, \$4; 2nd do. J. Haldane, London, \$2; 3rd do, Joseph Wheaton, Thorndale, volume Transactions.

Second best bushel Hungarian grass seed, James Ha'dane, London, \$2; 3rd do, J. N. Hunt, London, volume Transactions.

SPECIAL PRIZES FOR FALL WHEAT FROM COUNTIES.—Best bushel of Fall wheat contributed by a county agricultural society, the growth of the county in the year 1861, the wheat to be the property of the Board: North Oxford Society, \$5; 2nd do, West Durham Society, \$4; 3rd do. County of Peel Society, \$3; 4th do, County of Kent Society, \$2; additional sample, East Elgin Society, \$1 50.

REPORT OF JUDGES.—The Judges in making their Report, would say they were much pleased with the arrangement of the articles in their department, which reflects much credit upon the superintendent, Mr. Fleming.

When we began operations we did experience some little difficulty, by the interference of parties, some of whom were extibuters, but upon making known this fact to the President of the Board, such arrangements were made that upon the following day we got along with our work without difficulty.

We only refer to this matter in order that it may come under the eyes of exhibiters, and that upon future occasions such arrangements may be made in the different departments, that judges can proceed with their arduous udties without being hampered with the interference of interested parties.

In our department, the actual exhibiters came far short of the number of entries made, in many cases they were not a fourth. The general quality of the articles, with a few exceptions, was very fair; in some of the sec-

tions, however, the articles were not what they should have been for such an Exhibition as this. In some cases, therefore, we did not award a first prize, believing the articles were not worthy of holding that place. We also found many articles competing in classes which properly did not belong to them; also some entered in the Extra class, when there was a proper class for them. We do not know whether these were entered this way through ignorance, or otherwise; but in all cases where such entries were found, we took it upon ourselves to classify them aright, so as to conce in direct competition with others of the same class.

There is, perhaps, no class in the Exhibition, in which a higher interest is felt, than the one your judges have the honor of being placed upon; the most prominent part of it, however, is that of wheat. There may be several reasons for this; we think, however, that the very liberal gift of the Canada Company, ably seconded by the Association, is the principal feature of its attraction.

Your judges, f.om past experience, were fully aware of the jealousies existing in the mind of each competitor, as to the merit of his own particular sample; we therefore bestowed a greater share of our time than is usual upon this article, in order that no hasty conclusions might be arrived at. Upon our first inspection, we had but one opinion in our award of the Canada Company's prize, the successful individual being Mr. Anderson, of 4 The subsequent actions of Flamborough. Mr. Anderson excited a suspicion that all was not right; we therefore made a second examination, and upon this occasion we emptied each lot, when we found in the case of Anderson' sample, that for about a third of each bag, at the top, was placed wheat of a very superior description, the remainder of each bag being very infaior. We also found this to be the case in two lots of the two bushels samples, one of which was also Anderson's. In these c ses the judges set the samples asid-, and changed their awards.

There was but one other case of deception practised, which came under our notice, that being in a simple of tares. The exhibiter, apparently anxious to give them a find appearance, resorted to the expedient of rubbing them with oil, so as to make them have a glossy appearance; had they been left in their natural condition they might have been considered a surplice.

awarded a premium.