of the plaintiff, and in the possession of the defendants in Montreal, and there illegally detained by the defendants, and secreted by them, so as to prevent their revendication by plaintiff; on proof that the securities were stolen by the defendants from the plaintiff in New York, and brought into Montreal, the cause of action will be held to have arisen in a foreign country, and consequently the capias will be quashed. (The Royal Insurance Company vs. Knapp et al., S. C.) ........ t'APIAS AD RESPONDENCEM :- May issue on the ground of secretion committed previous to an assignment under the Insolvent Act of 1864, after, or concurrently with, the making of the assignment. (Stevenson et al. vs. McOwan, S. C.) CONTEMPT :- An advocate who publishes in a public newspaper, letters containing libellous, insulting and contemptuous statements and language, concerning one of the Unities of the Court, in reference to the conduct of said Justice while acting in his judicial capacity, on an application made to him in chambers for a writ of Habeas Corpus, is guilty of contempt, and may be lawfully convicted of and punished for such contempt by the Justice against whom the contempt has been committed. (The Queen vs. Ramsay, Q. B.)...... 152 The proceedings on a rule for contempt, on the crown side of the Court of Queen's Bench, do not constitute a criminal case, and therefore a writ of error does not lie with respect to a judgment rendered on such rule. (Ramsay,-plaintiff in error, and the Quech, defendant in error, Chanches: -Syndies, for the erection of, elected before the Stat. Ch. 18, Sec. 21, of the Cons. Stat. of L. C., were not a corporation. Holy vs. the Syndies of the Parish of Ste. Marthe, S. C.) ..... COLLISION :- Vide ADMIRALTY. COMMISSION ROGATORE: -- Where a writ of, has been addressed to six commissioners, of whom three have been named by each party, and the writ directs that any two of the commissioners may execute the writ, the execution of it by two of the plaintiff's commissioners, without explanation why the others did not join, is sufficient. (Tarratt et al. vs. Foley et al., COMMITTEE: - The members of a, employing a printer to do certain work are jointly responsible to the printer for his charges. (Lovell vs. Campbell et al., COMMUNITY OF PROPERTY :- If a Lower Canadian reside in the North West Territory for a series of years for the purposes of his trade or business, and afterwards return to Lower Canada and there permanently reside, a community of property will be held to have existed between him and a Cree Squaw, whom he may have married in the North West according to Cree custom. (Connolly vs. Woolrich: & Johnson et al., defendts., ....... 197 par reprise d'instance, S. C.) ..... CONSPIRACY :- Vide CRIMINAL PRACTICE. Costs :- An application for security for, founded on an affidavia to the effect that the party in respect of whom security is asked has no domicile in Canada, having ceased to reside in Canada, since he was a party in the cause, and being (as deponent hall been informed and believes) a permanent resident in England, will be rejected for want of sufficiency of the affidavil. (McCuloch vs. Routh, and Hensman, plaintiff par rep. d Inste., and the Jungan Bank Ish, party, S. C.)......

Costs

. E1

CULNSEI

Cdfus i

Count !

CHIMINA

DAMAGES

DECOMPIT DISTRIBUT

DOUAIRE

Ennor, W

EVIDENCE