

MR. McMASTER: No, not in this special case. I am going to come to that just in a minute. Subsequently the question as to whether---

THE CHAIRMAN: You must always remember that behind the scheme of things we are trying to set up here, the basis of equality with the other provinces confronts us.

MR. McMASTER: Yes. I am going to try to deal with the question on that basis.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is, for better or for worse, as far as Manitoba is concerned.

MR. McMASTER: Oh, yes; it has to go.

THE CHAIRMAN: It has to work both ways.

MR. McMASTER: It has to work both ways. Subsequently the question came up squarely before the Privy Council in the North-West Angle case.

MR. PLAXTON: In the North-West Angle case.

MR. McMASTER: And that was a case in which---I read the head-note, which is found in the same volume, at page 676:

"By a treaty dated October 3, 1873, the Dominion Government, acting in the interests of the Dominion as a whole, secured to the Salteaux tribe of the Ojibeway Indians certain payments and other rights, at the same time extinguishing by consent their interest over a large tract of land about fifty thousand square miles in extent, the greater part of which was subsequently ascertained to lie within the boundaries of the Province of Ontario. It having been decided that the release of the Indian interest effected by the treaty enured to the benefit of Ontario, the Dominion Government sued in Exchequer Court for a declaration that it was entitled to recover from and be paid by the Province of Ontario a proper proportion of the annuities and other moneys

W.L. Mackenzie King Papers
Memoranda & Notes

PUBLIC ARCHIVES
ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES
CANADA