

two men who dealt together to settle the Indian problem. I have nothing against the name Crowfoot. It is one of the names that has gone down in history in connection with the Indians of the area. Above all, the name "Crowfoot" is regarded with the highest respect by the 8,000 Indians in Macleod riding. But why disturb what everyone knows, what everyone honours and appreciates? In short, why do away with the name "Macleod"?

Colonel Macleod led the Royal Northwest Mounted Police into Fort Macleod in 1874 and gave the town its name. The people of that particular area are proud and one can understand why they do not want to give up the name "Macleod". In order to keep them happy and keep everyone happy who knows the tradition and history of the Indians, I hope the commission will take another look at this situation and preserve the name of Macleod riding. If the riding of Rocky Mountain is eliminated, there is no question but that Macleod constituency will fit logically into where it is now.

In conclusion I want to say that I find there are not many of my constituents who would shed any tears if this constituency boundaries adjustment act passed by this parliament were thrown into the ash can. I say that I have nothing against the commission. I think they have tried against odds to do what seemed to them on the surface to be a good job. However, I believe there have been some errors in that they failed to take into consideration the views of the people who know most about the area. For example, I think some of the members of parliament might have been called upon to make suggestions. Meetings might have been held before the boundaries were set instead of after the boundaries were set, and various suggestions might have been made. When this measure was going through parliament I thought that was what would be done. However, I was badly mistaken. I was confronted, like everyone else, with a *fait accompli*. The maps were placed before us and we were told: Now, boys, come on and criticize. We appeared before the commission at Calgary and others did so at other points. I may say that we had some very fine briefs presented from Macleod riding. The commission listened to them attentively but for some reason, lo and behold, not one change was made as a result of these people giving evidence. There were about ten briefs presented from Macleod riding. I hope

that the commission in reviewing the opinions of hon. members will give consideration to what has been said. I can assure them that if they wish to call upon any of the members who have spoken we shall all, since we are all of one opinion, be glad to provide them with any information or help them in any way we can to do the job and get things set up until the next census.

[Translation]

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Rinfret):** Order, please. Before recognizing the hon. member for Fraser Valley (Mr. Patterson), I must inform the house of the questions to be raised on the adjournment motion.

#### PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED UNDER ADJOURNMENT MOTION

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Rinfret):** It is my duty, pursuant to provisional standing order 39A, to inform the house that the questions to be raised at ten o'clock this day are as follows: The hon. member for Gaspé (Mr. Keays): Northern Affairs—Construction of a replica of the *Grande Hermine* for Expo '67; the hon. member for Pictou (Mr. MacEwan): Transport—Pictou Landing, N.S.—The state of the dock; the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. MacInnis): The Canadian National—The closing of the Canora service on the Pacific coast.

[English]

#### REDISTRIBUTION

CONSIDERATION OF OBJECTIONS TO COMMISSION REPORTS

**Mr. H. A. Olson (Medicine Hat):** In speaking briefly in this debate respecting the proposed new boundaries for the province of Alberta I should like to say at the outset that I am satisfied and my political organization is satisfied with the boundaries that have been proposed by the commission. Indeed, Mr. Speaker, we were satisfied with the boundaries as they were before they were revised. I want to say that I availed myself of the opportunity to make representations to the commissioners when they held hearings in Lethbridge in mid-November. During the course of those hearings I asked the commissioners to take into consideration a number of minor adjustments that I thought were reasonable.