"Eucugelied Crath-Apostolie Order."

MARRIAS, DAVA COCORA, CACORDAY, SURVE. G. 8590. OD: BEZ.

Calendar: 3

white states				
CALENDAR WITH LESSONS.				
Second 1 Morning Evening.				
Carlo Value		(
R GOAT	16 Ju. af. Trin.		. 3 EANE. 13	Som V
×		Const 1	9 Janep 2,3]	·, 🍇
F. 19		Mich 2	11	1
7 . II		41	12 6	— n
y, 13	1 • • • •		13 5 3	18
₹a . 12	1. * * * *	Language 91	12:14 min 201-	, IS

Doetry.

THE LIFE CLOCK

THERE is a mystic little clock, No human eye hatnacen; That beateth on—and beateth on, From morning until e'en.

And when the soul is wrapped in sleep, And heareth not a sound, It ticks and ticks the tivelong night, And never runneth down

How wondrous is that work of art
Which knells the parting hour,
But art ne'er formed, nor mind conceived The life-clock's magic power.

or set in gold, nor decked with gems, by wealth and prince possessed;
but rich or poor, or high or low,
Each wears it in his breast,

When life's deep stream, 'mid bods of flowers, And still and sofily glides, Like the wavelet's step, with a gentle beat, It warns of passing tides.

When threatening darkness gathers o'er, And hopes bright visions fice, Like the suiten stroke of the muffed oar, it besteth heavily.

When passion nerves the warrior's arm, For deeds of liate and wrong, Though heeded not the fearful sound, The knell is deep and strong.

Such is the clock that measures life. Of firsh and spirit blended, And thus 'twill run within the breast, Tili that strange life is ended.

Religious Miscellang.

MAINE.

We have received the Third Charge of the Rt. Ber, the Bishop of this diocese, delivered at the Thirty-seventh Annual Convention, held in St. Herk's Church, Augusta, on Wednesday the 9th of July, 1856 It is a lengthy and able production, thing up fearle-sly many of the more vexed questions of Church interest, while maintaining, at the main time, a soundly conservative tone throughout. Considering at the outset the peculiar position and Creamstances of the Church in the present age, the

Eichop begins by saying :-

"At the present moment, few thoughtful men in em ministry can fail to have me itated on several special of passing interest, which, when they are faithfully followed back, are found to reach down to the foots of our ecclesiastical system. How far are 73 prepared to meet the spiritual wants of our country and our generation? Does our Uhurch possess and exercise every power of expansion that must beleg to the Church of Christ? How far can it existal to satisfy those who love a highly symbolical ntual, rich with the adornments of consecrated art, or those who are attracted by an exact and ascetic mode of personal discipline? How far, on the other t adapt itself to the spiritual tastes of those would indulge to the utmost "the liberty of methoring" in the social meeting, or who delight is the hurst of jubilant song under the open sky? tan is project itself against secret Popery, or minet incipient Neology? Is it to be wished that is hould erect any additional barriers, or give addiavail strength to its success bulwarks? Are the which stand within it, side by side, pormaand descoulties, or temporary accidents? Is it bet-First they should be organized bodies, or does chancellon tend towards ultimate schiem ?n the whole Church act together, in the support The interest of love? If net, what |

are to be the limits of its combined efforts; and how far shall all which lies beyond be left to individual choice, or conducted by voluntary association? Those, who a multitude of similar inquiries, are conthusly, it ous form or another, ordering themsolves upon our view, arresting our attention, and ever demanding our decision and our action They mingle thomselves with the delicate relations in which the individual minister or laymon stands towards Christians of other names, and towards their united en-deavours in the cause of benevologie. They touch the sacred responsibility which is exercised when we admit to Baptism to Confirmation, and to the Lord's Supper. If the strongest views be adopted on both sides of many of these questions, and if the grounds and limits of concession and co operation to not well understood, there is no extent of dissension, no chasm of separation, however firmitable or di-astrous, which is not conceivable in the future. If, on the contrary, the true basis of that union which our Church offers and upholds, be thoroughly appreciated, no nooler destiny has ever been before any portion of the great, sacramental host of God.

Further on, in allusion to the perfect unity that should exist in God's Church, the Bishop continues:

" I blame no man for taking, in any great ecclesiastical question where action is demanded, the side which answers to his deepest convictions, with whomsoever he may thus be found; and if, lest he should act with a party, he belie those convictions, he is a timorous traitor to his conscience. Nevertheless, I would conuse every minister not to bind bimself beyond the immediate question; for it is indeed a bumiliating spectacle when good men of the same communion are arrayed in bands whose very principle it is to recognize no good in each other; when every other interest is suffered in that one which perhaps holds those together who are in mind and hear, as widely various from one another as from those whom the oppose; when the sympathies which are freely and justly extended to Christians of other communious are habitually withheld from those of our own; when every book, every measure, every discourse, is judged beforehand, not by its merit, but by its source, when they who must kneel at one altar, unite in one imposition of hands, sign the same rolemn documents, meet in the same sacred councils, and do altogether which appearains to their holiest character, that of a christian Church, yet seem to have a neurer, dearer and more intimate relation in which they cannot commingle; the relation of parties within that Church, pledged to unceasing and universal rivalship, up to the very edge of ce-clesiastical separation. Let such beware lest they should provoke the taunting or doubting inquiry, What is the benefit of communion where there is no fellowship?

But our limits will not permit us to follow the rt. rep prelate through the various divisions of his ex-cedent Charge; an : we must close by quoting the concluding words in which is again urgently pressed

the duty of Christian fellowship :

My brethren, let us not fear to do justice to all, nor seek to defend the truth or the Church by any arguments which they may disclaim, and on which our own hearts place really no reliance. Nothing will abide at last but the truth itself. It is a fearful thing to live in a disguise; to walk as if we distrusted those who indeed in our hearts we revere; to seem as if the conscience were interested where there is nothing beyond opinion or taste; and even to make divisions in the Church of Christ, for mere want of candor and high integrity. Who can doubt that if the best men of all schools in our communion could come together, with perfect openess, they would see ege to eye, and heart would answer to heart, in all of which each of them truly holds most practious? Who can doubt that if the best men of all Christian communions could thus meet, and could be entrusted with due powers, the schisms which have remained for ages, would in a few days cease? Oh, Let us not so live and labor, that we shall be compelled as we approach our account, to unravel the toil of years: that we shall be caranged till we enter Paradiso, from those with whom we are there to rejoice forever; that our work shall be destroyed, though we ourselves should be saved so as by fire; or, most on the walls of Zion, we shall be found neither Ho have obtered ourselves, nor to have suffered those who were entering, to go in I

THE DIELE REVISED.

It is a somewhat exaggerated alarm, perhaps, with which many excellent persons regard the possibly revision of our authorised version of Holy Scripture. The simple fact that we are every day using, a Psalter belonging to a different translation from that which we have adopted in the Bible, out of which the Lessons are read, ought to make its hesitate to pronounce that the faith of the people would of necessity be shaken by a further change. To the majority of thurchmen the Prayer-book version of the Psalms, that is to say, the translation in Uranmer's Bible, is more familiar than the later rendering in the Authorised Version. It was, indeed, the same line of reasoning which is now adopted against any alteration of our existing Bible. which preserved that older Psalter in our Prayer-But the considerations which were then thought sufficiently strong to outweigh such arguments, so far as the Bible itself was concerned, might prevail again; the authority of King James's translators might be superseded by that of a more accurate version, just as they superceded Tyndall, and Coverdale with Cranmer and the Bishops of an The mere difficulty of securing acceptaearlier age. ance for a Bible differing in phraseology from that which we now po-sess would not, in our epinion, beinsurmountable. It would be much greater than it was at the beginning of the seventeenth century, bycause the Hible is more largely used, and more familiarly known than it was in that age; but a single generation might possibly outlive the difficulty, and find the new Bible, at the close of it as familiar to the old We should be sorry to aform, either than it is impossible to make a better version than we have, or that, if a hetter version were made, it would be wrong to desire its authoritative introduction

It is quite another question, however, whether we are so circumstanced as to be able to enter upon the andertaking without any probability of gaining that advantage which alone could justify the risk. For it is clear that, although (as we have said) a new and improved version of the Bible might supersede the old without any detriment to faith, or any serious shock to reverence, it by no means follows that a new version—not being an improvement—would have the same ancess. And there could hardly be a more deplorable condition of things than the existence of two Bibles in every house, each the watchword of a party, and the scorn of its foes. To what lengths men may go in their hostility to the sacred volume itself, when it assumes this character of an armoury for weapons against their own belief. the Bible-buraing outrages of Dublin and Birming-ham will bear witness. The evil would be greater in the case we are supposing than even in these Romish mulpractices; for in proportion to the strictness with which men reverence the Bible will be the mischief effected by anything that converts that reverent regard into dislike or contempt. At preseat the book of Holy Scripture is the one thing left as a common object of roverence to the diverse sects which disfigure the religious aspect of Anglo-Saxon Christendom. All appeal to it. Nearly all refuse to enter upon any discussion, or embraco any Leliet, which does not seem, at least, to recognise its divine inspiration. But, then, the book which they accept is one, not merely as to its divino original, but in the form and language which actually influence men's affections and determine the doctrines they To destroy this simple identity by the introduction of rival claimants in their behalf would be the surest way to weaken, if not to destroy it for ever one cause, perhaps, did so much to prepare Europe for the overthrow of the Papel sule as the sight of rival Pontiffs exercising for forty years the functions of the contificate in open bestility, and dividing the allegiance of the Western Church. Where one or the other must of necessity be wrong, it was no distant step to argue that neither might be right. He must be a bold man who could assert, in the face of German Rationalism and French Infidelity, that no similar result could follow the establishment ourselves should be saved so as by fire; or, most of a second Bible, claiming the homoge, but not wretshed of all, that having been set as watchmen I securing the acceptance, of the Protestant world.