

THE
Expositor of Holiness

Vol. VIII.

JULY, 1889.

No. 1.

FULNESS OF LOVE.

BESSIE Q. JORDAN.

O love, suprising love! so long abused,
So long ignored, yea, even angrily refused.
To think that, after all, thy love is still
unchanged,
That all my sins and wanderings have not
Thee estranged!
O mighty love! so strong—too strong for
e'en my sin,
It broke my stubborn heart, and then—it
entered in!
It calmed my stormful will, and made it
pliable;
O God! Thy love is great and unexplainable!

O tender love! it humbles me e'en to the
dust
That Thou upbraidest not for my prolonged
distrust;
Nay, nay, instead, with gentle hand, Thou
ever hast
Caressed my spirit, and bade me forget the
past!
Dear, patient love, unwearied waiting thus
for me,
The chief of sinners! Lord, I grateful am to
Thee:
This is all I can say—and words are very
weak;
And Thou must wait until my dust-freed soul
shall speak!

O faithful love! I do not fear what man shall
say
Against my erring soul—Thou knowest all
that they
Can know, and more—Thy love can never be
surprised
By finding more—my heart to Thee is undis-
guised.

O everlasting love! that nevermore shall
end—
My soul on all-exhaustless love doth dare
depend;
O satisfying love! whatever may be without,
My soul herein doth rest, and has no thought
of doubt.

—Standard.

THE GALT APPEAL CASE.

The business part of three days of the
General Assembly's time was occupied in
hearing the appeal of Mr. J. K. Cranston
and others, against the decision of the
Synod of Toronto and Kingston, by which
some excellent men and women were
suspended from Church privileges by
the Session of Knox Church, Galt. To
some it may have seemed to be a waste
of time; and doubtless there was much
irrelevant speaking and tiresome iteration
which the Assembly might have
been spared, without any risk to the
parties. Nevertheless, the importance
of the subject required that it should be
carefully considered by the Supreme
Court of Appeal. The patience of the
Court and the kind brotherly tone of all
the speeches were not more noticeable than
the respectful and Christian demeanor
of the appellants. We cannot but hope
that the result of this case of discipline
will be powerful for good in many ways,
and to all concerned. Before the Synod
the appellants had enjoyed the fullest
liberty, and while they did not succeed
in having the sentence of Presbytery and
Session reduced, they still did not com-
plain of injustice or wrong having been
done them. They felt in conscience
bound to testify to the great truth which
they think they have discovered, and