

The Charlottetown Herald.

NEW SERIES.

CHARLOTTETOWN PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND. WEDNESDAY, MAY 7 1890

VOL. XIX. NO. 28

The Charlottetown Herald

Every Wednesday
The Herald Printing Company.

CONNOLLY'S BUILDING,
Queen Street, Charlottetown, P. E. I.

Subscription: One Year, in Advance, \$1.00

ADVERTISING AT MODERATE RATES.

Contracts made for Monthly, Quarterly, Half-yearly, or Yearly Advertisements, on application. Remittances may be made by Draft, P. O. Order, or Registered Letter.

All Correspondence should be addressed to the Herald Printing Company, or to

JAMES McISAAC,
Editor and Manager.

Calendar for May, 1890.

MONDAY'S CHANGES.

Full Moon, 4th day, 4h. 56m. p.m. N. E.

1st Qtr., 11th day, 4h. 10m. p.m. N. W.

New Moon, 18th day, 4h. 6m. p.m. S. E.

3rd Qtr., 25th day, 4h. 51m. p.m. S. W.

High Water

Low Water

Day of Sun

Day of Moon

Day of Wind

Day of Tide

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Fog

Day of Storm

Day of Clear

Day of Breeze

Day of Gale

Day of Hurricane

Day of Thunder

Day of Lightning

Day of Hail

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Day of Snow

Day of Ice

Day of Frost

Day of Rain

Hallo! What's Up Now?

DON'T you see McQUEENRY & CO. cannot give their customers great bargains during the Xmas holidays in Light Diving Harness. They have a large stock of their own manufacture on hand, and are determined to dispose of it by the first of the year. Don't forget the price are away below anything you have ever seen. Come on, come all, and get a bargain.

Don't forget the place, Great George Street, opposite S. W. Graham's Hardware Store.

GRANULATED SOAP

WONDER-

ful cleaning properties.

Price 5c.

It's easy to dye with Diamond Dyes Because so simple.

It's safe to dye with Diamond Dyes Because always reliable.

It's economy to dye with Diamond Dyes Because the strongest.

It's pleasant to dye with Diamond Dyes Because they never fail.

You ought to dye with Diamond Dyes Because they are best!

Our new book "Successful Home Dyeing" gives full directions for all uses of Diamond Dyes, and is a very complete and reliable work, in one volume, published by McQUEENRY & CO., Montreal, Que.

All kinds of Job work executed with neatness and dispatch, at the Herald Office.

DR. ROSS.

PHYSICIAN & SURGEON.

Office, - - - Eldon.

April 30, 1890. - - 31 pd

THE "MANUFACTURERS."

THE POPULAR LIFE

AND

THE LIBERAL ACCIDENT

INSURANCE.

TERMS EASY.

DONALD MACKENZIE, AGENT,

Kent Street, Charlottetown.

Local agents wanted. J. E. Paton,

Manager. Apply to "Manufacturers,"

Box 374, Halifax.

February 12, 1890.

Special Announcement.

We have made arrangements with Dr. B. J. Kendall Co., publishers of "A Treatise on the Horse and his Diseases" which will enable all our subscribers to obtain a copy of this valuable work free by sending their order (containing a two cent stamp for mailing) to Dr. B. J. Kendall Co., 222 Broadway, N. Y. This book is now recognized as standard authority on all diseases of the horse, and is a most valuable possession to every horse owner. A sale never reached by any publication in the same period of time. We feel confident that our subscribers will appreciate the work, and be glad to avail themselves of this opportunity of obtaining a valuable book. It is necessary that you mention this paper in sending for the "Treatise." This offer will remain open for only a short time.

Watches. Watches.

SOLID GOLD Ladies' and Gents' Open or Hunting Case. Gold filled do., do., warranted to stand and wear better than a cheap gold case. Silver and Silveroid, in key or stem-wind, with works thoroughly tested and warranted, from \$5.00 up to \$40.00. Cheaper can be supplied, but not warranted as reliable time-keepers. The watches we keep in stock have received the highest award for general excellence and time-keeping qualities. Good value in every department.

G. H. TAYLOR.
Aug 21, 1889. North Side Queen Square.

Prices Down!

WE HAVE GOT PRICES WAY DOWN ON

Chairs, Bedroom Sets, Parlor Sets, Lounges, Sideboards, Tables, &c.

Call and see our Immense Stock and our Wonderful Low Prices.

MARK WRIGHT & CO.
Charlottetown, Jan. 22, 1890.

MILLS! MILLS!

Laether Belting, Leather Belting, Rubber Belting, Disston's Saws, Belt Lacing, Files, Oils, &c.

NORTON & FENNEL,
January 29, 1890.

Grocery & Tea House!

P. MONAGHAN, -IMPORTER AND DEALER IN-

Teas, Sugars, Coffees, Confectionery, Flour, Molasses, Pork, Ham, Lard and Choice Groceries.

ALL THE ABOVE ARE CHOICE AND VRYE CHEAP.

TEAS A SPECIALTY.

Try our 20c Tea. Nothing like it for the price.

Hardware, Hardware

CARRIAGE HARDWARE in Iron and Steel Shoeing [Tire Steel, Spokes, Rims, Hubs, Axles and Varnishes.

CARPENTERS' HARDWARE A SPECIALTY.

NAILS, GLASS PAINTS, LOCKS, and everything they require in our line.

For Blacksmiths we have an immense stock of Horse Nails, Horse Shoe Iron, Steel, Files, Rasps, &c.

FARMERS GET EVERYTHING THEY REQUIRE.

Splendid Steel MUD SHOVELS, English and American.

STOCK NOW COMPLETE.

SELLING AT VERY LOW PRICES. DODD & ROGERS. Charlottetown, Dec. 24, 1889. QUEEN SQUARE.

The Budget Speech.

Following is the official report of the budget speech delivered in the House of Assembly on Tuesday evening, April 21st, by Hon. N. L. McLeod, Premier and Attorney-General. The order of the day being read for the house in committee of the whole on the consideration of the report, the Premier rose to reply. Hon. Mr. McLeod, Leader of the Government, moved that the house do now go into the order of the day, and said: Mr. Speaker, in making this motion, it is my duty to make a few remarks respecting our financial position as a province. I shall occupy as little time as possible, and shall confine my observations strictly to the matter before the house. The present Government have not been in power very long, but they are successors to the administration that preceded them, and are closely identified with the administration. In fact, it may be said to be almost the same Government. When we came into power in 1879, by ousting our predecessors from the Treasury benches, we found a balance against us of \$52,000, as certified by the hon. and/or public accounts. Mr. F. W. Hymans. This amount had been carried over by the previous Government from the year 1875 to that of 1879, and when we assumed control of public affairs, we had not only to meet the ordinary current expenditures for the latter year, but the deficit of the former year as well. In order to do this, we adopted a policy of retrenchment and economy, and carried it out, as far as possible, consistently with the public interests. We commenced by reducing the salaries of the ministers of the crown. During the administration of our predecessors, those ministers who held a portfolio received a salary of \$1,600 per annum. We reduced these salaries to the extent of \$300 each. We then applied the same principle to the salaries of the other public officials, and reduced them in proportion. Following in that direction, we reduced the independence of our provincial hon. members of this house. Previous to 1879, they received \$200 per session and perquisite. We reduced it to \$100. This policy was pursued in order to keep the expenditure within the means of the province. When the Liberals of Nova Scotia came into power they pursued a far different course, having run into debt to the extent of \$3,000,000. Although we are a public indebtedness, except the balance to which I have alluded, we reduced the expenditure, in 1879, in such a way as to save the country from financial difficulties. The rise of the Nova Scotia ministers of the crown, who hold a portfolio, from \$3,000 to \$2,300 per annum, and the seasonal allowance to members of the Legislature of \$900. The Liberal Government there still maintain the Civil Service at its highest point, without the slightest attempt at economy. Had we, as a government, followed in the footsteps of our predecessors, we would today have a heavy public indebtedness. It is, therefore, altogether our duty to our economy and good management that the province is in its present good financial condition. It is, therefore, our duty to our economy and good management that the province is in its present good financial condition. It is, therefore, our duty to our economy and good management that the province is in its present good financial condition.

The subsidy for 1878, under our opponents, was \$150,000. Leaving a balance in our favor of \$24,514.49. The sum received by our predecessors in 1878, if we capitalize the amount of subsidy which we at present receive, and also that received under the Davies' Government, we shall find the respective sums to be as follows, viz: Subsidy for 1880, \$140,519.87; capitalized at 5 per cent, is \$3,670,379.40. Subsidy for 1878, \$150,000.35; capitalized at 5 per cent, is 3,180,107.60. Increase of our capital at Ottawa, \$490,289.80. We see, therefore, that notwithstanding the assertions of our opponents, our capital in the hands of the Dominion Government has very largely increased, after deducting all withdrawals therefrom. We have still very nearly half a million dollars of capital over and above what we had in 1878, under the Davies' Government. But the Opposition have made a charge against us of having wasted the money received by us as revenues from the Land Office. In reply to this, we claim that the amount collected through that source amounted to no more than the interest on the sum expended on the purchase of lands, and that we have a perfect right to use it as revenue. We are not alone in that respect, as other provinces of the Dominion do the very same thing. Nova Scotia expended its interest on its invested surplus of \$258,000 while at present it is only \$73,000. It will, therefore be seen that both Nova Scotia and Ontario do the same as we do, with respect to money received from the Land Office. During the years 1877 and 1878, our opponents collected twice as large a sum through that source, as that which we now receive, yet they expended every cent of it. The hon. Leader of the Opposition was a member of the Davies' Government at the time, and never found any fault with their action in that respect; but he never fails to blame the present Government for pursuing a similar course. I think we, as a government, have good ground for claiming that we have pursued a policy of economy and retrenchment. Wherever the expenditure could possibly be controlled and reduced, consistently with the requirements of the country, we have carried out that policy. There are items in the public expenditure, such as that for the administration of justice, which cannot always be controlled. Let us compare our expenditure under that head with that of our predecessors. Hon. gentlemen opposite may say that we should not right, no matter what our predecessors did, but we can only find our true position by making a comparison of that kind. Since we came into power we have saved in that department the sum of \$81,451.25, or nearly \$7,400.66 per year. This is shown clearly from the following figures, viz: Administration of Justice under present Government: Expended in 1879.....\$26,612.17 " 1880.....29,440.78 " 1881.....20,413.23 " 1882.....18,469.24 " 1883.....18,297.87 " 1884.....19,422.62 " 1885.....19,763.96 " 1886.....17,634.72 " 1887.....19,640.76 " 1888.....21,512.55 " 1889.....10,976.45 Total for 11 years.....\$234,185.97

Average yearly expenditure under present Government.....\$21,289.60

Expenditure for Administration of Justice under Davies Government, - Expended in 1888.....\$30,304.74 " 1887.....27,083.79 Total for 2 years.....\$57,388.53

Average yearly expenditure.....\$28,694.27

Average under present Gov't.....21,289.60

Annual saving under present Gov't.....\$7,404.66

Total saving for 11 years under present Government.....\$81,451.25

The expenditure for Legislation is a controllable item. During the period we have been in charge of public affairs, we have saved under that head the sum of \$48,400.83, as is shown by the statement which I will now read, viz: Cost of Legislation under present Government.....\$10,071.41

1880.....13,240.74

1881.....13,058.93

1882.....12,680.53

1883.....12,852.24

1884.....12,835.39

1885.....13,004.08

1886.....13,032.29

1887.....13,235.59

1888.....12,750.70

1889.....12,916.82

Total for 11 years.....\$145,108.52

Average yearly expenditure under present Government.

1879.....\$12,199.84

1880.....12,500.08

1881.....12,500.08

1882.....12,500.08

1883.....12,500.08

1884.....12,500.08

1885.....12,500.08

1886.....12,500.08

1887.....12,500.08

1888.....12,500.08

1889.....12,500.08

Total for 11 years.....\$132,295.06

Average yearly expenditure.....\$12,027.24

Expenditure upon Lunatic Asylum under the Davies Government.....\$10,187.26

1877.....9,947.40

1878.....10,834.06

Average yearly expenditure.....\$10,410.66

Average yearly expenditure under present Government.....\$9,917.33

Average yearly expenditure under Davies Government.....\$10,410.66

Excess of expenditure under present Government.....\$5,493.33

Excess in 11 years.....\$59,975.55

Expenditure on bridges by present Government.

1879.....\$16,365.14

1880.....22,189.69

1881.....20,910.00

1882.....18,545.02

1883.....22,970.92

1884.....25,295.41

1885.....29,574.34

1886.....17,956.58

1887.....24,719.95

1888.....20,861.86

1889.....25,343.41

Total.....\$252,343.41

Average yearly expenditure.....\$22,940.31

Excess under present Gov't.....\$5,427.05

I have given these figures to show that the statement of the Opposition, that we have been starving the public works, is entirely without foundation. Let hon. members examine their Journals, and see whether the figures I have given are correct or not. It is very clear that our expenditure exceeded that of the Davies Government by the sum of \$5,427.05 annually, and that the excess amounted in eleven years to the large sum of \$59,975.55. Let us now compare the expenditure on coast and river service, ferries and packets, under the two Governments.

UNDER DAVIES GOVERNMENT.

378 - Coast and River Service, Packet Service and Ferries.....\$11,001.93

1877.....\$14,578.12

Total.....\$25,580.05

Average per year.....\$2,325.46

UNDER PRESENT GOVERNMENT.

1879.....\$10,605.67

1880.....9,220.43

1881.....10,368.75

1882.....8,608.04

1883.....21,348.45

1884.....15,533.45

1885.....11,543.22

1886.....18,875.94

1887.....18,139.49

1888.....22,653.68

1889.....20,117.78

Total in 11 years.....\$162,005.84

Average yearly expenditure.....\$14,727.80

Deficit average yearly expenditure under Davies Gov't.....\$14,578.12

Total.....\$2,149.68

Excess in favor of present Government.....\$1,677.50

Total excess for 11 yrs.....\$16,102.50

One would think after hearing the speech of hon. members of the Opposition, that when a party ran the public works of the country so successfully and economically that they far surpassed the efforts of the present Government in that respect. But what are the facts as shown by the statement I have just made? I have clearly proved that we have been more liberal in dealing with those works than our opponents were, and that we expended much larger sums of money upon them. The Opposition have again and again declared that our policy was against the best interests of the farmers, and that we did not manage the Stock Farm in a proper manner. Now, looking at the encouragement which we have given to the Exhibitions, which is the best criterion by which to judge, I think those statements can easily be shown to be fallacious. We find that under the Davies Government the Exhibitions were held sometimes in one town and sometimes in another, and that there was no fixed time or place at which to hold them. When we came into power we changed all that, and made provision for a General Provincial Exhibition and for County Exhibitions for Prince and King's Counties. The comparative statement as to the expenditures under the two Governments is as follows: - Under the Davies Government the following sums were expended for exhibitions: - 1877.....\$1,650.00

1878.....\$1,650.00

Total.....\$3,300.00

Average yearly expenditure.....\$300.00

The present Gov't. expended for the same purpose as follows: - 1879.....\$1,722.53

1880.....3,409.01

1881.....2,954.64

1882.....2,258.69