

Berlin University attracts many students. The number entered for the present winter term is 5,700, which is 1,177 more than last summer and 322 more than last winter. The numbers of students attending the various faculties are: Theology, 840; law, 1,585; medicine, 1,456, and philosophy, 1,909. In addition to the matriculated students, 1,895 persons have been permitted to attend the various lectures, thus bringing up the total number of attendants at the University to 7,685. The greater number of this total, 4,302, are, as would be naturally expected, of Prussian nationality; 870 students come from other States of the German Empire, and 405 from different countries of Europe. The foreign students are: Russians, 127; Swiss, 86; Austrians, 39; Hungarians, 37; British, 33; Luxemburgers, 13; Italians, 11; French, 11; Roumanians, 11; Greeks, 10; Swedes and Norwegians, 9; Dutch, 6; Turks, 4; Servians, 3; Belgians, 2; Danes, 2, and one Siaman. From other continents than Europe there are 213 students—namely, 171 from America, 39 from Asia, 2 from Australia, and one from Africa.

At the Faculty of Medicine, in the University of Paris, Mlle. Schultze, the Russian young lady of 21 who presented herself for her doctor's degree, was warmly complimented by M. Charcot, who was one of the four members of the jury, and who admitted that women doctors pass their examinations most satisfactorily—better even than men. "But," he continued, "permit me to tell you that these women think more of themselves than of humanity. They aspire to the first rank, to the most prominent posts, to lucrative offices. They consent less willingly to be dressers in our hospitals, to apply those antiseptic treatments which demand sustained attention, minute care, and great physical skill. Here is an occupation for which women are so well suited; but they are too ambitious. As Nature, again, has barred their way to rôles which they seek to fill, I conclude that women doctors have no future before them, and will never be more than an exception." He then complimented the young lady before him on her beauty, her youth, her talent, and her courage. M. Landouzy, who followed him, remarked that in his opinion women doctors might with advantage turn their attention more especially, and with undoubted advantage, to the treatment of women and children.

Sporting.

HOCKEY.

MCGILL VS. LACHINE.

On Saturday afternoon, the University hockey team went to Lachine and played a friendly game with the Lachine team. McGill was accompanied by about fifty students. The train arrived at Lachine at 2.35 p.m., and the players, with their admirers, immediately proceeded to the rink of the Lachine Skating Club. Although the rink is rather small, the ice was in perfect condition, and the club kindly placed their

club-house at the disposal of the visiting team. Sharp at 3 o'clock the teams lined up as follows:—

McGill.	Position.	Lachine.
D. B. Holden.....	Goal.....	H. K. Danford.
R. M. Walsh.....	Point.....	W. Robertson.
F. S. Meighen.....	Cover-Point.....	Jenrust.
H. D. Hamilton (Capt.)		W. J. MacGowan.
W. L. Jamieson.....	Forwards.	V. Barry.
H. M. Kithorn.....		W. Shackell (Capt.)
F. Fry.....		A. Wood.

The McGill men won the toss, and played with their backs to the sun but against the wind. During the first half-hour the Lachine team had slightly the better of the game, and finally scored towards the end of the half. After the teams had changed goals, the college boys forced matters and soon secured a goal, Fry scoring. The visitors now seemed thoroughly acquainted with the rink, and got in some very fine team play. The Lachine men did some very good individual play, Robertson and Barry especially making some fine runs, but no goals were scored. From this point the college boys played a rushing game, and secured three more goals, Hamilton, Jamieson, and Fry scoring. All of the college team played well; Meighen, at cover-point, played a sure and steady game, and his checking was very clean and effectual.

Correspondence.

FRESHMAN AND SOPHOMORE.

Editors of the University Gazette:—

DEAR SIRS,—The question which called forth our editorial of the 22nd December, as to who should hold the honoured seats when two classes or two faculties attend the same lectures, has always engendered much bitter feeling, and not unfrequently an open rupture between the contending factions. It is said that some few years ago, so fierce did the struggle rage between two faculties, that for a whole hour nothing could be seen or heard but a struggling mass of humanity. This is a state of affairs that might well put to the blush men, whose greatest pride is to be considered gentlemen of fine sensibility and refinement, more especially when they reflect that it is brought about by their own selfish acts. Recently, the majority of seniors in another faculty took a different position to that of the Medical Sophomores. They maintained that where seniors and juniors attended the same course of lectures, every advantage should be conceded the latter. They rested their pretensions upon the solid foundation of the refined and cultured rules, to which the "unwritten laws" of college life must submit, of every true gentleman and lady, and which concedes every possible preference to the weaker class or individual. In the difficulty which is yearly creeping up in the same beehive in the Medical Faculty, there can be no doubt on which side the weaker class lies, and it undoubtedly should be preferred. By following such a course on all occasions, the stronger would never suffer from the weaker, when it happens—as is pretended by the