

63

Q. And whom did you interview on that occasion?

A. His mother-in-law.

Q. Was she at the home of the accused?

A. She was.

Q. Did you tell her your mission?

A. I did.

Q. And, as a result of that interview did you return on a later day?

A. That evening, sir, on the 20th.

Q. Were you -- who did you see at that time?

A. The mother-in-law, her husband and their son.

Q. As a result of any information received, if you did receive it, did you or were you in possession of any information which would let you know where the accused was? Or where he would be?

A. I received information which definitely showed where the accused would be, with a choice of two places, the following morning.

Q. And you state that you didn't know previous to the 20th, or the 19th that the accused was an absentee?

A. That is correct, sir.

Q. Is it, in your office, common for you to be notified of every absentee in your district?

A. Absentees who have next of kin in the city or who have an address in the city.

Q. Can you account for the delay on the part of those responsible in not informing you sooner?

A. This is only conjecture. There has been a change in the office staff.

Q. Is it a fact Cpl. that you did search for the accused from the 20th until the time you arrested him?

A. Not solely for the accused.

Q. Did you have any description of him?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you think you would have known him had you met him out here on the road?

A. Not particularly.